

June 28, 2019 File No. 262018.063

Ms. Corina Forson Chief Hazards Geologist State of Washington Department of Natural Resources Washington Geological Survey 111 Washington Street SE Olympia, Washington 98504 Mr. Scott Black
Program Development Manager
State of Washington
Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction
600 Washington Street
Olympia, Washington 98504

Subject: Department of Natural Resources Washington Geological Survey,

School Seismic Safety Assessment Project, Contract No. AE 410 -

Seismic Evaluation for Port Townsend School District

Dear Ms. Forson and Mr. Black:

Reid Middleton and our consultant team, under the direction of The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Washington Geological Survey (WGS) School Seismic Safety Project, have conducted seismic evaluations of 222 school buildings and 5 fire stations throughout Washington State. This letter is transmitting the results of these seismic assessments for each school district that graciously participated in this statewide study. We understand that you will be forwarding this letter and the accompanying seismic screening reports to each school district for their reference and use.

Many disparate studies on improving the seismic safety of our public school buildings have been performed over the last several decades. Experts in building safety, geologic hazards, emergency management, education, and even the news media have been asserting for decades that seismic risks in older public school buildings represent a risk to our communities. The time to act is now, before we have a damaging earthquake and/or tsunami that could be catastrophic. This statewide school seismic safety assessment project provides a unique opportunity to draw attention to the need for statewide seismic safety policies and funding on behalf of all school districts that will help enable school districts to increase the seismic safety of their older buildings to make them safer for students, teachers, staff, parents, and the community.

It is not the intent of this study to create an unfunded mandate for school districts to seismically upgrade their schools without associated funding or statewide seismic safety policy support. The overall goal of this study was to screen and evaluate the current levels of seismic vulnerabilities of a statewide selection of our older public school buildings and to use the data and information to help quantify funding and policy needs to improve the seismic safety of our public schools. In this process, we are using the information to inform not only the Governor

EVERETT
728 134th Street SW
Suite 200
Everett, WA 98204
425 741-3800

and the Legislature of the policy and funding needs for seismically safe schools but also the school districts that participated in the study.

## School Buildings Evaluated in the Port Townsend School District

We appreciate Port Townsend School District's participation and invaluable assistance in this statewide project. The following school district buildings were included as part of this study:

- 1. Port Townsend High School, Gym
- 2. Port Townsend High School, Main Building
- 3. Port Townsend High School, Math Science Annex
- 4. Port Townsend High School, Stuart Building

The seismic screening of these buildings was performed using the American Society of Civil Engineers' Standard 41-17, *Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Existing Buildings* (ASCE 41-17), national standard Tier 1 structural and nonstructural seismic screening checklists specific to each building's structure type.

The WGS also conducted seismic site class assessments to measure the shear wave velocity and determine the soil site class at each campus. Site class is an approximation of how much soils at a site will amplify earthquake-induced ground motions and is a critical parameter used in seismic design. Reid Middleton subsequently used this information in their seismic screening analyses.

The following table is a list of available seismic assessment information used in our study:

School Building	Year Constructed	FEMA Building Classification	Structural Drawings Available for Review
Port Townsend High School, Gym	1941	Unreinforced Masonry Bearing Walls	No
Port Townsend High School, Main Building	1934	Unreinforced Masonry Bearing Walls	Yes
Port Townsend High School, Math Science Annex	1928	Unreinforced Masonry Bearing Walls	Yes
Port Townsend High School, Stuart Building	1952	Wood Frame	No

Detailed descriptions of the seismic screening evaluations of these buildings can be found in the individual building reports and the ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 screening checklist documents enclosed with this letter. This information will also be available for download on the WGS website: <a href="https://www.dnr.wa.gov/programs-and-services/geology/geologic-hazards/earthquakes-and-faults/school-seismic-safety">https://www.dnr.wa.gov/programs-and-services/geology/geologic-hazards/earthquakes-and-faults/school-seismic-safety</a>.



Department of Natural Resources Washington Geological Survey School Seismic Safety Project – Port Townsend School District June 28, 2019 File No. 262018.063 Page 3

These Tier 1 seismic screening checklists are often the first step employed by structural engineers when trying to determine the seismic vulnerabilities of existing buildings and to begin a process of mitigating these seismic vulnerabilities. School district facilities management personnel and their design consultants should be able to take advantage of this information to help inform and address seismic risks in existing or future renovation, repair, or modernization projects.

It is important to note that information used for these school seismic screenings was limited to available construction drawings and limited site observations by our team of licensed structural engineers to observe the general conditions and configuration of each building being seismically screened. In many cases, construction drawings were not available for review as noted in the table above. Due to the limited scope of the study, our team of engineers were not able to perform more-detailed investigations above ceilings, behind wall finishes, in confined spaces, or in other areas obstructed from view. Where building component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information, the unknown conditions were indicated as such on the ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 checklists. Additional field investigations are recommended for the "unknown" seismic evaluation checklist items if more-definitive determinations of seismic safety compliance and further development of seismic mitigation strategies are desired.

#### Nonstructural Seismic Screening

The enclosed ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Nonstructural Seismic Screening checklists can provide immediate guidance on seismic deficiencies in nonstructural elements. Mitigating the risk of earthquake impacts from these nonstructural elements should be addressed as soon as practical by school districts. Some nonstructural elements may be easily mitigated by installing seismic bracing of tall cabinets, moving heavy contents to the bottom of shelving, and adding seismic strapping or bracing to water tanks and overhead elements (light fixtures, mechanical units, piping, fire protection systems, etc.).

It is often most economical to mitigate nonstructural seismic hazards when the building is already undergoing mechanical, electrical, plumbing, or architectural upgrades or modernizations. Enclosed with these nonstructural seismic screening checklists are excerpts from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) publication E-74 entitled, *Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage* (FEMA E-74). We have included these FEMA publication excerpts to help illustrate typical seismic mitigation measures that can potentially be implemented by district facilities and maintenance personnel.

#### Structural Seismic Screening

The enclosed ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Structural Seismic Screening checklists have evaluation statements that are reviewed for specific building elements and systems to determine if these items are seismically compliant, noncompliant, not applicable, or unknown. These evaluation statements provide guidance on which structural systems and elements have identified seismic deficiencies and should be investigated further. Further seismic evaluations beyond these



Department of Natural Resources Washington Geological Survey School Seismic Safety Project – Port Townsend School District June 28, 2019 File No. 262018.063 Page 4

seismic screening checklists typically consist of more-detailed seismic structural analyses to better define the seismic vulnerabilities and risks. This information is then used to determine cost-effective ways to seismically improve these buildings with stand-alone seismic upgrade projects or incrementally as part of other ongoing building maintenance, repair, or modernization projects. Consequently, implementing seismic structural mitigation strategies typically requires that they be developed as a part of longer-term capital improvements and modernization programs developed by the school district and their design consultants.

#### **Next Steps**

Due to the screening nature of the ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 procedures, an in-depth seismic evaluation and analysis of these buildings may be needed before detailed seismic upgrades or improvements, conceptual designs, and probable construction cost estimates are developed.

If you have any questions or comments regarding the engineering reports or would like to discuss this further, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Louis D. Zoon so

David B. Swanson, P.E., S.E. Principal, LEED AP, F.SEI















#### Limitations

The professional services described in this document were performed based on available information and limited visual observation of the structures. No other warranty is made as to the professional advice included in this document. This document has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Department of Natural Resources, the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction, and this school district and is not intended for use by other parties, as it may not contain sufficient information for other parties' purposes or their uses.



# 1. Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Gym

## 1.1 Building Description

Building Name: Gym

Facility Name: Port Townsend High

School

District Name: Port Townsend

ICOS Latitude: 48.118
ICOS Longitude: -122.768

**ICOS** 

County/District ID:

ICOS Building ID: 13775
ASCE 41 Bldg Type: URM
Enrollment: 366

Gross Sq. Ft. : 34,112 Year Built: 1941

Number of Stories: 1

S<sub>XS</sub> <sub>BSE-2E</sub>: 1.066

S<sub>X1 BSE-2E</sub>: 0.630

ASCE 41 Level of

Seismicity: High

Site Class: D  $V_{S30}(m/s)$ : 355

Liquefaction

very low

Potential:

Tsunami Risk: Extremely Low

Structural Drawings Available: No

Evaluating Firm: Reid Middleton, Inc.





Port Townsend High School, in Jefferson County, is a public school that serves approximately 375 students in grades 9-12. It is one of the oldest high schools in Washington State, graduating its first class in 1891. The Port Townsend High School Gym/Shop building is a one-story building consisting primarily of wood columns and wood bearing walls with a wood framed roof. It was originally constructed in 1941 with a major renovation taking place in 1984.

## 1.1.1 Building Use

The building consists of a multipurpose gymnasium floor with shops and locker rooms.

## 1.1.2 Structural System

Table 1.1-1. Structural System Description of Port Townsend High School

Structural System	Description
Structural Roof	The structural roof system consists of wood sheathing supported by timber roof
	trusses and roof beams.
	The structural floor system consists of a concrete slab-on-grade with the
Structural Floor(s)	exception of wood sheathing on timber floor beams over a partial reinforced
	concrete basement.
Foundations	Foundations are not visible but appear to be shallow reinforced concrete
Foundations	footings.
Charity Cristons	The gravity system consists of wood roof framing supported primarily by
Gravity System	unreinforced masonry bearing walls.
Lataral Crystans	The lateral force-resisting system consists of unreinforced masonry shear walls
Lateral System	with a flexible diaphragm at the roof.

## 1.1.3 Structural System Visual Condition

 Table 1.1-2. Structural System Condition Description of Port Townsend High School

Structural System	Description
Structural Roof	Roof appears to be in satisfactory condition.
Structural Floor(s)	Floor system appears to be in satisfactory condition.
Foundations	Foundations are not visible but there are no visible indications of damage or distress.
Gravity System	Gravity system appears to be in satisfactory condition.
Lateral System	Lateral system appears to be in satisfactory condition.

# 1.2 Seismic Evaluation Findings

#### 1.2.1 Structural Seismic Deficiencies

The structural seismic deficiencies identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each deficiency is also provided based on this evaluation.

Table 1-3. Identified Structural Seismic Deficiencies for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Gym

Deficiency	Description
Overturning	Elements of the seismic system are slender relative to the overall height of the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear walls or shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Shear Stress	Wall shear stress per quick check does not appear to be compliant. Further investigation should be performed.
Check	Lateral system strengthening or addition of shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Wood Ledgers	Connections induce cross-grain bending. Further investigation should be performed. Additional blocking and
Wood Leagers	strapping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Proportions	URM walls assumed 13 inches in thickness. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system
Troportions	strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Cross Ties	It does not appear that diaphragm chords are continuously tied. Further investigation should be performed.
	Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Diagonally	
Sheathed and	Horizontal spans are in excess of 40 feet. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement
Unblocked	may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Diaphragms	
Beam	Girder, and Truss Supports, No independent secondary columns observed. Further investigation should be
Dealli	performed. Independent secondary columns for gravity system may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### 1.2.2 Structural Checklist Items Marked as 'U'nknown

Where building structural component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information or limited observation, the structural checklist items were marked as "unknown". These items require further investigation if definitive determination of compliance or noncompliance is desired. The unknown structural checklist items identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each unknown item is also provided based on the evaluation.

Table 1-4. Identified Structural Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Gym

Unknown Item	Description
	Likely non-compliant pertaining to structural elements and connections although the structure conveys a
Load Path	reasonable amount of symmetry in the lateral force resisting elements in the north-south direction. Further
	investigation should be performed to mitigate seismic risk.
	The liquefaction potential of site soils is unknown at this time given available information. Very low
Liquefaction	liquefaction potential is identified per ICOS based on state geologic mapping. Requires further investigation by
	a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.
Slope Failure	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope failure.
Surface Fault	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near locations of
Rupture	expected surface fault ruptures.
	Anchor rods with rosettes are visible at approximate 4 ft. 0 in. centers. Likely noncompliant relative to
Wall Anchorage	calculated connection force. Further investigation should be performed. Additional out-of-plane anchoring may
	be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Transfer to Shear	Unknown, likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. Further investigation
Walls	should be performed. Additional diaphragm shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Girder-Column	Unknown, likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. Further investigation
Connection	should be performed. Additional connection hardware may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M I	Collar joints not visible. Lateral system strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate
Masonry Layup	seismic risk.
Stiffness of Wall	The stiffness of the connections is unknown. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear wall
Anchors	anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### 1.3.1 Nonstructural Seismic Deficiencies

The nonstructural seismic deficiencies identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each deficiency is also provided based on this evaluation. Some nonstructural deficiencies may be able to be mitigated by school district staff. Other nonstructural components that require more substantial mitigation may be more appropriately included in a long-term mitigation strategy. Some typical conceptual details for the seismic upgrade of nonstructural components can be found in the FEMA E-74 Excerpts appendix.

Table 1-5. Identified Nonstructural Seismic Deficiencies for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Gym

Deficiency	Description
LSS-1 Fire Suppression Piping. HR-not required; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that seismic bracing for fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Bracing for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-2 Flexible Couplings. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed the flexible couplings on the fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Flexible coupling for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M-4 Unreinforced Masonry Backup. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	The structure appears to be an unreinforced masonry structure with a masonry veneer.
PCOA-1 URM Parapets or Cornices. HR-LMH; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	The unreinforced masonry parapets do not appear to meet the 1.5 height-to-thickness ratios.  Parapet reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
CF-2 Tall Narrow Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly noncompliant for contents meeting the criteria. Brace tops of shelves taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.
CF-3 Fall-Prone Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly not compliant for contents meeting the criteria. Heavy items on upper shelves should be restrained by netting or cabling to avoid becoming falling hazards.

#### 1.3.2 Nonstructural Checklist Items Marked as 'U'nknown

Where building nonstructural component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information or limited observation, the nonstructural checklist items were marked as "unknown". These items require further investigation if definitive determination of compliance or noncompliance is desired. The unknown nonstructural checklist items identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each unknown item is also provided based on the evaluation.

Some nonstructural deficiencies may be able to be mitigated by school district staff. Other nonstructural components that require more substantial mitigation may be more appropriately included in a long-term mitigation strategy. Some typical conceptual details for the seismic upgrade of nonstructural components can be found in the FEMA E-74 Excerpts appendix.

Table 1-6. Identified Nonstructural Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Gym

Unknown Item	Description
LSS-3 Emergency Power. HR- not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Use of emergency power was not verified with maintenance or facility staff. Evaluation of emergency power equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-5 Sprinkler Ceiling Clearance. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	No available record drawing information on sprinkle head clearance and unable to verify during site investigation. Evaluation of penetrations may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-1 Hazardous Material Equipment. HR-LMH; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	It is unknown if equipment is mounted on vibration isolators. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-2 Hazardous Material Storage. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk. Restraining breakable containers that hold hazardous material by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-3 Hazardous Material Distribution. HR-MH; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Bracing and anchoring of piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-4 Shutoff Valves. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	It is unknown if the structure contains natural gas or other hazardous materials. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Providing shutoff valves may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-5 Flexible Couplings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Flexible coupling for piping and ductwork may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
P-3 Drift. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	It is unknown if there are cementitious partitions in the building. However, it is unlikely. Further investigation should be performed. Detailing to allow cementitious partitions to drift an adequate amount during a seismic event may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
C-1 Suspended Lath and Plaster. HR-H; LS-MH; PR- LMH.	It is unknown if the building has a lath and plaster ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
C-2 Suspended Gypsum Board. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-LMH.	It is unknown if the building has a gypsum board ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
CG-1 Cladding Anchors. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	It is unknown how much the cladding components weigh or how they are anchored to the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring of cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
CG-4 Threaded Rods. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	It is unknown how the cladding panels are connected to the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring of cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
CG-5 Panel Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	It is unknown how the cladding panels are connected to the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring of cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
CG-6 Bearing Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	It is unknown how the cladding panels are connected to the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring of cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

Unknown Item	Description				
	Glazing information is unknown. Based on the age of the building, it is likely that the glazing on				
CG-8 Overhead Glazing. HR-	the windows are laminated or detailed to remain in the frame. Many individual panes are likely to				
not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	be below this threshold. Further investigation should be completed. Replacing applicable glazing				
	planes may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.				
M-1 Ties. HR-not required;	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should				
LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.				
M-2 Shelf Angles. HR-not	It is real movem how the massage was as a second to the stemptions				
required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure.				
M-3 Weakened Planes. HR-	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should				
not required; LS-LMH; PR-	be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.				
LMH.	be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.				
M-6 Anchorage. HR-not	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should				
required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.				
	It is unknown how the cladding is connected to the structure. The masonry parapets are likely				
PCOA-4 Appendages. HR-	compliant as they would be extensions of the structural wall above the elevation of the roof				
MH; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	diaphragm. The cladding should be investigated further. Additional anchoring of the cladding may				
	be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.				
ME-1 Fall-Prone Equipment.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or				
HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.				
ME-2 In-Line Equipment. HR-	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or				
not required; LS-H; PR-H.	anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.				
ME-3 Tall Narrow Equipment.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Brace tops				
HR-not required; LS-H; PR-	of equipment taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.				
MH.	or equipment taner than o reet to hearest backing wan or provide overturning base restraint.				



Figure 1-1. Gym/Shop Building, North Elevation



Figure 1-2. Gym/Shop Building, North Elevation



Figure 1-3. Gym/Shop Building, South Elevation



Figure 1-4. Gym/Shop Building, South Elevation




Figure 1-5. Gym/Shop Building, Typical Roof Framing




Figure 1-6. Gym/Shop Building, Roof Framing at Storage




Figure 1-7. Gym/Shop Building, Typical Roof/Wall System

# Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Gym

# 17-2 Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist

Building record drawings have been reviewed, when available, and a non-destructive field investigation has been performed for the subject building. Each of the required checklist items are marked Compliant (C), Noncompliant (NC), Not Applicable (N/A), or Unknown (U). Items marked Compliant indicate conditions that satisfy the performance objective, whereas items marked Noncompliant or Unknown indicate conditions that do not. Certain statements might not apply to the building being evaluated.

## **Low Seismicity**

#### **Building System - General**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Load Path	The structure contains a complete, well-defined load path, including structural elements and connections, that serves to transfer the inertial forces associated with the mass of all elements of the building to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.10)				х	Likely non-compliant pertaining to structural elements and connections although the structure conveys a reasonable amount of symmetry in the lateral force resisting elements in the north-south direction. Further investigation should be performed to mitigate seismic risk.
Adjacent Buildings	The clear distance between the building being evaluated and any adjacent building is greater than 0.25% of the height of the shorter building in low seismicity, 0.5% in moderate seismicity, and 1.5% in high seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.2)	X				It does not appear that there are any immediately adjacent structures.
Mezzanines	Interior mezzanine levels are braced independently from the main structure or are anchored to the seismic-force-resisting elements of the main structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.3)			X		There does not appear to be an interior mezzanine.

#### **Building System - Building Configuration**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Weak Story	The sum of the shear strengths of the seismic- force-resisting system in any story in each direction is not less than 80% of the strength in the adjacent story above. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.1; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.2)	X				There does not appear to be a weak story irregularity.

Soft Story	The stiffness of the seismic-force-resisting system in any story is not less than 70% of the seismic-force-resisting system stiffness in an adjacent story above or less than 80% of the average seismic-force-resisting system stiffness of the three stories above. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.2; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.3)	X	There does not appear to be a soft story irregularity.
Vertical Irregularities	All vertical elements in the seismic-forceresisting system are continuous to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.3; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.4)	X	Vertical elements appear to be continuous to the foundation.
Geometry	There are no changes in the net horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system of more than 30% in a story relative to adjacent stories, excluding one-story penthouses and mezzanines. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.4; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.5)	X	There does not appear to be any changes to the horizontal dimension of the seismic force-resisting system.
Mass	There is no change in effective mass of more than 50% from one story to the next. Light roofs, penthouses, and mezzanines need not be considered. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.5; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.6)	X	There does not appear to be a mass irregularity.
Torsion	The estimated distance between the story center of mass and the story center of rigidity is less than 20% of the building width in either plan dimension. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.6; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.7)	X	There does not appear to be a torsional irregularity.

# Moderate Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low Seismicity)

## **Geologic Site Hazards**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Liquefaction	Liquefaction-susceptible, saturated, loose granular soils that could jeopardize the building's seismic performance do not exist in the foundation soils at depths within 50 ft (15.2 m) under the building. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.1)				X	The liquefaction potential of site soils is unknown at this time given available information. Very low liquefaction potential is identified per ICOS based on state geologic mapping. Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.
Slope Failure	The building site is located away from potential earthquake-induced slope failures or rockfalls so that it is unaffected by such failures or is capable of accommodating any predicted movements without failure. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.2)				X	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope failure.

Surface Fault Rupture	Surface fault rupture and surface displacement at the building site are not anticipated. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3)		X	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near locations of expected surface fault ruptures
				surface fault ruptures.

# High Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low and Moderate Seismicity)

## **Foundation Configuration**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Overturning	The ratio of the least horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system at the foundation level to the building height (base/height) is greater than 0.6Sa. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.3; Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.1)		X			Elements of the seismic system are slender relative to the overall height of the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear walls or shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Ties Between Foundation Elements	The foundation has ties adequate to resist seismic forces where footings, piles, and piers are not restrained by beams, slabs, or soils classified as Site Class A, B, or C. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.4; Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.2)			X		Likely compliant as restraint is provided by ground floor slab.

# 17-36 Collapse Prevention Structural Checklist for Building Types URM and URMa

Building record drawings have been reviewed, when available, and a non-destructive field investigation has been performed for the subject building. Each of the required checklist items are marked Compliant (C), Noncompliant (NC), Not Applicable (N/A), or Unknown (U). Items marked Compliant indicate conditions that satisfy the performance objective, whereas items marked Noncompliant or Unknown indicate conditions that do not. Certain statements might not apply to the building being evaluated.

## Low and Moderate Seismicity

## **Seismic-Force-Resisting System**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Redundancy	The number of lines of shear walls in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.1.1)	X				
Shear Stress Check	The shear stress in the unreinforced masonry shear walls, calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.3, is less than 30 lb/in.2 (0.21 MPa) for clay units and 70 lb/in.2 (0.48 MPa) for concrete units. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.1)		X			Wall shear stress per quick check does not appear to be compliant. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening or addition of shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### **Connections**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Wall Anchorage	Exterior concrete or masonry walls that are dependent on the diaphragm for lateral support are anchored for out-of-plane forces at each diaphragm level with steel anchors, reinforcing dowels, or straps that are developed into the diaphragm. Connections have strength to resist the connection force calculated in the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.7. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.1)				X	Anchor rods with rosettes are visible at approximate 4 ft. 0 in. centers. Likely noncompliant relative to calculated connection force. Further investigation should be performed. Additional out-of-plane anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Wood Ledgers	The connection between the wall panels and the diaphragm does not induce cross-grain bending or tension in the wood ledgers. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.2)		X			Connections induce cross- grain bending. Further investigation should be performed. Additional blocking and strapping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

Transfer to Shear Walls	Diaphragms are connected for transfer of seismic forces to the shear walls. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.2; Commentary: Sec. A.5.2.1)		X	Unknown, likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. Further investigation should be performed. Additional diaphragm shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Girder-Column Connection	There is a positive connection using plates, connection hardware, or straps between the girder and the column support. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.1; Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.1)		X	Unknown, likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. Further investigation should be performed. Additional connection hardware may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# High Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low and Moderate Seismicity)

## **Seismic-Force-Resisting System**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Proportions	The height-to-thickness ratio of the shear walls at each story is less than the following: Top story of multi-story building – 9; First story of multi-story building – 15; All other conditions – 13. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.2)		X			URM walls assumed 13 inches in thickness. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Masonry Layup	Filled collar joints of multi-wythe masonry walls have negligible voids. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.4.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.3)				X	Collar joints not visible. Lateral system strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

## **Diaphragms (Stiff or Flexible)**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Openings at Shear Walls	Diaphragm openings immediately adjacent to the shear walls are less than 25% of the wall length. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.4)			X		There does not appear to be any openings at shear walls.
Openings at Exterior	Diaphragm openings immediately adjacent to exterior masonry shear walls are not greater than 8 ft (2.4 m) long. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.6)			X		There does not appear to be any openings at shear walls.

## Flexible Diaphragms

<b>EVALUATION ITEM</b>	<b>EVALUATION STATEMENT</b>	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT

Cross Ties	There are continuous cross ties between diaphragm chords. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.2)		X		It does not appear that diaphragm chords are continuously tied. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Straight Sheathing	All straight-sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios less than 2-to-1 in the direction being considered. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.1)			X	Diaphragms are diagonally sheathed.
Spans	All wood diaphragms with spans greater than 24 ft (7.3 m) consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.2)	X			
Diagonally Sheathed and Unblocked Diaphragms	All diagonally sheathed or unblocked wood structural panel diaphragms have horizontal spans less than 40 ft (12.2 m) and aspect ratios less than or equal to 4 to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.3)		X		Horizontal spans are in excess of 40 feet. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Other Diaphragms	The diaphragms do not consist of a system other than wood, metal deck, concrete, or horizontal bracing. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.4.7.1)	X			

## Connections

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Stiffness of Wall Anchors	Anchors of concrete or masonry walls to wood structural elements are installed taut and are stiff enough to limit the relative movement between the wall and the diaphragm to no greater than 1/8 in. before engagement of the anchors. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.4)				X	The stiffness of the connections is unknown. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Beam, Girder, and Truss Supports	Beams, girders, and trusses supported by unreinforced masonry walls or pilasters have independent secondary columns for support of vertical loads. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.4; Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.5)		X			No independent secondary columns observed. Further investigation should be performed. Independent secondary columns for gravity system may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Gym

# 17-38 Nonstructural Checklist

Notes:

C = Compliant, NC = Noncompliant, N/A = Not Applicable, and U = Unknown.

Performance Level: HR = Hazards Reduced, LS = Life Safety, and PR = Position Retention.

Level of Seismicity: L = Low, M = Moderate, and H = High

#### **Life Safety Systems**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
LSS-1 Fire Suppression Piping. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Fire suppression piping is anchored and braced in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.1)		X			No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that seismic bracing for fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Bracing for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-2 Flexible Couplings. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Fire suppression piping has flexible couplings in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.2)		X			No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed the flexible couplings on the fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Flexible coupling for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-3 Emergency Power. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Equipment used to power or control Life Safety systems is anchored or braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.1)				X	Use of emergency power was not verified with maintenance or facility staff. Evaluation of emergency power equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-4 Stair and Smoke Ducts. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Stair pressurization and smoke control ducts are braced and have flexible connections at seismic joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.1)			X		Building is a one-story structure.

LSS-5 Sprinkler Ceiling Clearance. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Penetrations through panelized ceilings for fire suppression devices provide clearances in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.3)			X	No available record drawing information on sprinkle head clearance and unable to verify during site investigation. Evaluation of penetrations may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-6 Emergency Lighting. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-LMH	Emergency and egress lighting equipment is anchored or braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.1)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.

## **Hazardous Materials**

	T	1		1		T
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
HM-1 Hazardous Material Equipment. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Equipment mounted on vibration isolators and containing hazardous material is equipped with restraints or snubbers. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.2)				X	It is unknown if equipment is mounted on vibration isolators. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-2 Hazardous Material Storage. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Breakable containers that hold hazardous material, including gas cylinders, are restrained by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.3; Commentary: Sec. A.7.15.1)				X	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk. Restraining breakable containers that hold hazardous material by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-3 Hazardous Material Distribution. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Piping or ductwork conveying hazardous materials is braced or otherwise protected from damage that would allow hazardous material release. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.4)				X	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Bracing and anchoring of piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

HM-4 Shutoff Valves. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Piping containing hazardous material, including natural gas, has shutoff valves or other devices to limit spills or leaks. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.3)			X	It is unknown if the structure contains natural gas or other hazardous materials. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Providing shutoff valves may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-5 Flexible Couplings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Hazardous material ductwork and piping, including natural gas piping, have flexible couplings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.15.4)			X	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Flexible coupling for piping and ductwork may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-6 Piping or Ducts Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Piping or ductwork carrying hazardous material that either crosses seismic joints or isolation planes or is connected to independent structures has couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5, 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.6)		X		The building does not appear to contain seismic joints, isolation planes, or independent structures.

#### **Partitions**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
P-1 Unreinforced Masonry. HR-LMH; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Unreinforced masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions are braced at a spacing of at most 10 ft (3.0 m) in Low or Moderate Seismicity, or at most 6 ft (1.8 m) in High Seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.1)			X		Partitions do not appear to consist of unreinforced masonry or hollow-clay tile.
P-2 Heavy Partitions Supported by Ceilings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	The tops of masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions are not laterally supported by an integrated ceiling system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.1)			X		Partitions do not appear to consist of masonry or hollow-clay tile.

P-3 Drift. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Rigid cementitious partitions are detailed to accommodate the following drift ratios: in steel moment frame, concrete moment frame, and wood frame buildings, 0.02; in other buildings, 0.005. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.2)			X	It is unknown if there are cementitious partitions in the building. However, it is unlikely. Further investigation should be performed. Detailing to allow cementitious partitions to drift an adequate amount during a seismic event may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
P-4 Light Partitions Supported by Ceilings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The tops of gypsum board partitions are not laterally supported by an integrated ceiling system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.1)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.
P-5 Structural Separations. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Partitions that cross structural separations have seismic or control joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.3)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.
P-6 Tops. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The tops of ceiling-high framed or panelized partitions have lateral bracing to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft (1.8 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.4)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.

## Ceilings

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
C-1 Suspended Lath and Plaster. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Suspended lath and plaster ceilings have attachments that resist seismic forces for every 12 ft2 (1.1 m2) of area. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.3)				X	It is unknown if the building has a lath and plaster ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
C-2 Suspended Gypsum Board. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Suspended gypsum board ceilings have attachments that resist seismic forces for every 12 ft2 (1.1 m2) of area. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.3)				X	It is unknown if the building has a gypsum board ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

C-3 Integrated Ceilings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) and ceilings of smaller areas that are not surrounded by restraining partitions are laterally restrained at a spacing no greater than 12 ft (3.6 m) with members attached to the structure above. Each restraint location has a minimum of four diagonal wires and compression struts, or diagonal members capable of resisting compression. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.2)		X	Not required performance	l for life safety e level.
C-4 Edge Clearance. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The free edges of integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) have clearances from the enclosing wall or partition of at least the following: in Moderate Seismicity, 1/2 in. (13 mm); in High Seismicity, 3/4 in. (19 mm). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.4)		X	Not required performance	l for life safety e level.
C-5 Continuity Across Structure Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The ceiling system does not cross any seismic joint and is not attached to multiple independent structures. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.5)		X	Not required performance	l for life safety e level.
C-6 Edge Support. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The free edges of integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) are supported by closure angles or channels not less than 2 in. (51 mm) wide. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.6)		X	Not required performance	l for life safety e level.
C-7 Seismic Joints. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Acoustical tile or lay-in panel ceilings have seismic separation joints such that each continuous portion of the ceiling is no more than 2,500 ft2 (232.3 m2) and has a ratio of long-to-short dimension no more than 4-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4: Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.7)		X	Not required performance	l for life safety e level.

## **Light Fixtures**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
LF-1 Independent Support. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Light fixtures that weigh more per square foot than the ceiling they penetrate are supported independent of the grid ceiling suspension system by a minimum of two wires at diagonally opposite corners of each fixture. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4, 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.2)	X				It is unclear how much the light fixtures weigh. However, it appears that the light fixtures are suspended independently from the ceiling.

LF-2 Pendant Supports. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Light fixtures on pendant supports are attached at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft. Unbraced suspended fixtures are free to allow a 360-degree range of motion at an angle not less than 45 degrees from horizontal without contacting adjacent components. Alternatively, if rigidly supported and/or braced, they are free to move with the structure to which they are attached without damaging adjoining components. Additionally, the connection to the structure is capable of accommodating the movement without failure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.3)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
LF-3 Lens Covers. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Lens covers on light fixtures are attached with safety devices. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.4)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

## **Cladding and Glazing**

Clauding and Glazing	<del> </del>			1		<u> </u>
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
CG-1 Cladding Anchors. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Cladding components weighing more than 10 lb/ft2 (0.48 kN/m2) are mechanically anchored to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 6 ft (1.8 m); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 4 ft (1.2 m) (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.1)				X	It is unknown how much the cladding components weigh or how they are anchored to the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring of cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
CG-2 Cladding Isolation. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	For steel or concrete moment-frame buildings, panel connections are detailed to accommodate a story drift ratio by the use of rods attached to framing with oversize holes or slotted holes of at least the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 0.01; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 0.02, and the rods have a length-to-diameter ratio of 4.0 or less. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.3)			X		The building is not a steel or concrete moment frame building.
CG-3 Multi-Story Panels. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For multi-story panels attached at more than one floor level, panel connections are detailed to accommodate a story drift ratio by the use of rods attached to framing with oversize holes or slotted holes of at least the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 0.01; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 0.02, and the rods have a length-to-diameter ratio of 4.0 or less. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.4)			х		The building does not have any multi-story panels.

	<u></u>				T
CG-4 Threaded Rods. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Threaded rods for panel connections detailed to accommodate drift by bending of the rod have a length-to-diameter ratio greater than 0.06 times the story height in inches for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity and 0.12 times the story height in inches for Life Safety in High Seismicity and Position Retention in any seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.9)			X	It is unknown how the cladding panels are connected to the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring of cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
CG-5 Panel Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Cladding panels are anchored out of plane with a minimum number of connections for each wall panel, as follows: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 2 connections; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 4 connections. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.5)			X	It is unknown how the cladding panels are connected to the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring of cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
CG-6 Bearing Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Where bearing connections are used, there is a minimum of two bearing connections for each cladding panel. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.6)			X	It is unknown how the cladding panels are connected to the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring of cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
CG-7 Inserts. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Where concrete cladding components use inserts, the inserts have positive anchorage or are anchored to reinforcing steel. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.7)		X		There are no concrete cladding components.
CG-8 Overhead Glazing. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Glazing panes of any size in curtain walls and individual interior or exterior panes more than 16 ft2 (1.5 m2) in area are laminated annealed or laminated heat-strengthened glass and are detailed to remain in the frame when cracked. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.8)			X	Glazing information is unknown. Based on the age of the building, it is likely that the glazing on the windows are laminated or detailed to remain in the frame. Many individual panes are likely to be below this threshold. Further investigation should be completed. Replacing applicable glazing planes may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

## **Masonry Veneer**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
M-1 Ties. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Masonry veneer is connected to the backup with corrosion-resistant ties. There is a minimum of one tie for every 2-2/3 ft2 (0.25 m2), and the ties have spacing no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 36 in. (914 mm); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 24 in. (610 mm). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.1)				X	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M-2 Shelf Angles. HR- not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is supported by shelf angles or other elements at each floor above the ground floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.2)				X	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure.
M-3 Weakened Planes. HR-not required; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is anchored to the backup adjacent to weakened planes, such as at the locations of flashing. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.3)				X	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M-4 Unreinforced Masonry Backup. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	There is no unreinforced masonry backup. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.7.2)		X			The structure appears to be an unreinforced masonry structure with a masonry veneer.
M-5 Stud Tracks. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For veneer with coldformed steel stud backup, stud tracks are fastened to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than 24 in. (610 mm) on center. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.6.)			X		It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. However, the use of coldformed steel studs as a backup is unlikely.
M-6 Anchorage. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For veneer with concrete block or masonry backup, the backup is positively anchored to the structure at a horizontal spacing equal to or less than 4 ft along the floors and roof. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.7.1)				X	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M-7 Weep Holes. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	In veneer anchored to stud walls, the veneer has functioning weep holes and base flashing. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
M-8 Openings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	For veneer with cold-formed-steel stud backup, steel studs frame window and door openings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.6.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

## Parapets, Cornices, Ornamentation, and Appendages

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
PCOA-1 URM Parapets or Cornices. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Laterally unsupported unreinforced masonry parapets or cornices have height-tothickness ratios no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 2.5; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 1.5. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.1)		X			The unreinforced masonry parapets do not appear to meet the 1.5 height-to-thickness ratios. Parapet reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
PCOA-2 Canopies. HR- not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Canopies at building exits are anchored to the structure at a spacing no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 10 ft (3.0 m); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 6 ft (1.8 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.2)			X		There are no canopies around the perimeter of the structure.
PCOA-3 Concrete Parapets. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Concrete parapets with height-to-thickness ratios greater than 2.5 have vertical reinforcement. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.3)			X		There are no concrete parapets.
PCOA-4 Appendages. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- LMH.	Cornices, parapets, signs, and other ornamentation or appendages that extend above the highest point of anchorage to the structure or cantilever from components are reinforced and anchored to the structural system at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft (1.8 m). This evaluation statement item does not apply to parapets or cornices covered by other evaluation statements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.4)				X	It is unknown how the cladding is connected to the structure. The masonry parapets are likely compliant as they would be extensions of the structural wall above the elevation of the roof diaphragm. The cladding should be investigated further. Additional anchoring of the cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

## **Masonry Chimneys**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION CTATEMENT	-	NC	NT/A	T T	COMMENT
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
MC-1 URM Chimneys. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Unreinforced masonry chimneys extend above the roof surface no more than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 3 times the least dimension of the chimney; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 2 times the least dimension of the chimney. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.9.1)			X		There does not appear to be any masonry chimneys.
MC-2 Anchorage. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Masonry chimneys are anchored at each floor level, at the topmost ceiling level, and at the roof. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.9.2)			X		There does not appear to be any masonry chimneys.

## **Stairs**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
S-1 Stair Enclosures. HR-not required; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Hollow-clay tile or unreinforced masonry walls around stair enclosures are restrained out of plane and have height-to-thickness ratios not greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 15-to-1; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 12-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2, 13.6.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.10.1)			X		There does not appear to be any stairs.
S-2 Stair Details. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	The connection between the stairs and the structure does not rely on post-installed anchors in concrete or masonry, and the stair details are capable of accommodating the drift calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.1 for moment-frame structures or 0.5 in. for all other structures without including any lateral stiffness contribution from the stairs. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.10.2)			X		There does not appear to be any stairs.

## **Contents and Furnishings**

			1	1		1
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
CF-1 Industrial Storage Racks. HR-LMH; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Industrial storage racks or pallet racks more than 12 ft high meet the requirements of ANSI/RMI MH 16.1 as modified by ASCE 7, Chapter 15. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.1)			X		Unable to verify during site investigation. It is unlikely that there are 12 ft high storage racks in the building.
CF-2 Tall Narrow Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Contents more than 6 ft (1.8 m) high with a height-to-depth or height-to-width ratio greater than 3-to-1 are anchored to the structure or to each other. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.2)		X			Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly noncompliant for contents meeting the criteria. Brace tops of shelves taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.
CF-3 Fall-Prone Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment, stored items, or other contents weighing more than 20 lb (9.1 kg) whose center of mass is more than 4 ft (1.2 m) above the adjacent floor level are braced or otherwise restrained. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.3)		X			Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly not compliant for contents meeting the criteria. Heavy items on upper shelves should be restrained by netting or cabling to avoid becoming falling hazards.
CF-4 Access Floors. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Access floors more than 9 in. (229 mm) high are braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.10; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

CF-5 Equipment on Access Floors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Equipment and other contents supported by access floor systems are anchored or braced to the structure independent of the access floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7 13.6.10; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.5)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
CF-6 Suspended Contents. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Items suspended without lateral bracing are free to swing from or move with the structure from which they are suspended without damaging themselves or adjoining components. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.6)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

## **Mechanical and Electrical Equipment**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
ME-1 Fall-Prone Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment weighing more than 20 lb (9.1 kg) whose center of mass is more than 4 ft (1.2 m) above the adjacent floor level, and which is not in-line equipment, is braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.4)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-2 In-Line Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment installed in line with a duct or piping system, with an operating weight more than 75 lb (34.0 kg), is supported and laterally braced independent of the duct or piping system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.5)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-3 Tall Narrow Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Equipment more than 6 ft (1.8 m) high with a height-to-depth or height-to-width ratio greater than 3-to-1 is anchored to the floor slab or adjacent structural walls. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.6)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Brace tops of equipment taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.
ME-4 Mechanical Doors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Mechanically operated doors are detailed to operate at a story drift ratio of 0.01. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.7)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-5 Suspended Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment suspended without lateral bracing is free to swing from or move with the structure from which it is suspended without damaging itself or adjoining components. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1, 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.8)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-6 Vibration Isolators. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment mounted on vibration isolators is equipped with horizontal restraints or snubbers and with vertical restraints to resist overturning. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.9)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

ME-7 Heavy Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Leguinment weighing more than 400 lb (181.4		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-8 Electrical Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Electrical equipment is laterally braced to the structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.11)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-9 Conduit Couplings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Conduit greater than 2.5 in. (64 mm) trade size that is attached to panels, cabinets, or other equipment and is subject to relative seismic displacement has flexible couplings or connections. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.12)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

## **Piping**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
	Fluid and gas piping has flexible couplings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-2 Fluid and Gas Piping. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Fluid and gas piping is anchored and braced to the structure to limit spills or leaks. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-3 C-Clamps. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	One-sided C-clamps that support piping larger than 2.5 in. (64 mm) in diameter are restrained. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.5)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-4 Piping Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Piping that crosses seismic joints or isolation planes or is connected to independent structures has couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

## **Ducts**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
D-1 Duct Bracing. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Rectangular ductwork larger than 6 ft2 (0.56 m2) in cross-sectional area and round ducts larger than 28 in. (711 mm) in diameter are braced. The maximum spacing of transverse bracing does not exceed 30 ft (9.2 m). The maximum spacing of longitudinal bracing does not exceed 60 ft (18.3 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
D-2 Duct Support. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Ducts are not supported by piping or electrical conduit. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.3)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

	Ducts that cross seismic joints or isolation				
D-3 Ducts Crossing	planes or are connected to independent				
Seismic Joints. HR-not	structures have couplings or other details to		X		Not required for life safety
required; LS-not	accommodate the relative seismic		Λ	•	performance level.
required; PR-H.	displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6;				
	Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.4)				

## Elevators

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
EL-1 Retainer Guards. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Sheaves and drums have cable retainer guards. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.1)		1,0	X		There does not appear to be any elevators in the structure.
EL-2 Retainer Plate. HR- not required; LS-H; PR- H.	,			X		There does not appear to be any elevators in the structure.
EL-3 Elevator Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment, piping, and other components that are part of the elevator system are anchored. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.3)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-4 Seismic Switch. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Elevators capable of operating at speeds of 150 ft/min or faster are equipped with seismic switches that meet the requirements of ASME A17.1 or have trigger levels set to 20% of the acceleration of gravity at the base of the structure and 50% of the acceleration of gravity in other locations. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-5 Shaft Walls. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Elevator shaft walls are anchored and reinforced to prevent toppling into the shaft during strong shaking. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.5)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-6 Counterweight Rails. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	All counterweight rails and divider beams are sized in accordance with ASME A17.1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-7 Brackets. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The brackets that tie the car rails and the counterweight rail to the structure are sized in accordance with ASME A17.1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.7)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-8 Spreader Bracket. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Spreader brackets are not used to resist seismic forces. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.8)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-9 Go-Slow Elevators. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The building has a go-slow elevator system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.9)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

# 1. Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Main Building

## 1.1 Building Description

Building Name: Main Building

Facility Name: Port Townsend High

School

District Name: Port Townsend

ICOS Latitude: 48.118
ICOS Longitude: -122.768

**ICOS** 

County/District ID:

ICOS Building ID: 18186
ASCE 41 Bldg Type: URM
Enrollment: 366

Gross Sq. Ft. : 45,578

Year Built: 1934

Number of Stories: 3

S<sub>XS BSE-2E</sub>: 1.066

S<sub>X1 BSE-2E</sub>: 0.630

ASCE 41 Level of

Seismicity: High

Site Class: D  $V_{S30}(m/s)$ : 355

Liquefaction

very low

Potential:

Tsunami Risk: Extremely Low

Structural Drawings Available: Yes

Evaluating Firm: Reid Middleton, Inc.





Port Townsend High School, in Jefferson County, is a public school that serves approximately 375 students in grades 9-12. It is one of the oldest high schools in Washington State, graduating its first class in 1891. The Main Building at Port Townsend High School is a 3-story building which includes a partial basement level of reinforced concrete and two levels of unreinforced masonry (URM) supporting wood frame floors and roof. The Main Building was originally constructed in 1934 and the last major renovation of the building was performed in 1984.

#### 1.1.1 Building Use

The Main Building at Port Townsend High School consists of classrooms and administrative spaces, an auditorium, and music rooms.

### 1.1.2 Structural System

Table 1.1-1. Structural System Description of Port Townsend High School

Structural System	Description
Structural Roof	The roof system is a wood-framed roof supported on URM bearing walls.
Structural Floor(s)	The floor systems consist of wood-framed floors supported on URM and reinforced concrete bearing walls.
Foundations	Foundation system consists of shallow reinforced concrete footings.
Gravity System	The gravity system consists of wood framing supported by URM and reinforced concrete bearing walls.
Lateral System	The lateral force resisting system consists of URM and reinforced concrete shear walls with wood-framed diaphragms at the floor and roof levels.

# 1.1.3 Structural System Visual Condition

Table 1.1-2. Structural System Condition Description of Port Townsend High School

Structural System	Description
Structural Roof	The roof structure appears to be in satisfactory condition.
Structural Floor(s)	The floor systems appear to be in satisfactory condition.
Foundations	Foundations are not visible but appear to be in satisfactory condition with no apparent signs of damage or distress.
Gravity System	The gravity system appears to be in satisfactory condition.
Lateral System	The lateral force resisting system appears to be in satisfactory condition.

# 1.2 Seismic Evaluation Findings

#### 1.2.1 Structural Seismic Deficiencies

The structural seismic deficiencies identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each deficiency is also provided based on this evaluation.

Table 1-3. Identified Structural Seismic Deficiencies for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Main Building

Deficiency	Description
	Structure appears to have a well defined load path but standard of care at time of original construction in 1934
Load Path	indicates deficiencies in structural elements and connections necessary to transfer inertial forces to the
Luau Faiii	foundation. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear walls and anchoring may be
	appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
	Elements of the seismic system appear to be slender relative to the overall height of the structure. Further
Overturning	investigation should be performed. Additional shear walls or shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to
	mitigate seismic risk.
Shear Stress	Wall shear stress per quick check does not appear to be compliant. Further investigation should be performed.
Check	Lateral system strengthening or addition of shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Transfer to Shear	Likely noncompliant relative to the standard of care at time of original construction. Drawings do not indicate
Walls	any direct connection between diaphragms and shear walls. Further investigation should be performed.
wans	Additional diaphragm shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Girder-Column	No positive connections observed. Further investigation should be performed. Additional connection hardware
Connection	may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Duanantiana	Masonry shear walls do not appear to meet this requirement. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral
Proportions	system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Cross Ties	It does not appear that diaphragm chords are continuously tied. Further investigation should be performed.
Cross Ties	Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
C4	Aspect ratios are greater than 2-to-1. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may
Straight Sheathing	be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Stiffness of Wall	No positive connections are indicated on drawings or visible in field. Further investigation should be
Anchors	performed. Additional shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
	Girder, and Truss Supports, No independent secondary columns are indicated on drawings or visible in field.
Beam	Further investigation should be performed. Independent secondary columns for gravity system may be
	appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### 1.2.2 Structural Checklist Items Marked as 'U'nknown

Where building structural component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information or limited observation, the structural checklist items were marked as "unknown". These items require further investigation if definitive determination of compliance or noncompliance is desired. The unknown structural checklist items identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each unknown item is also provided based on the evaluation.

Table 1-4. Identified Structural Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Main Building

Unknown Item	Description
Liquefaction	The liquefaction potential of site soils is unknown at this time given available information. Very low liquefaction potential is identified per ICOS based on state geologic mapping. Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.
Slope Failure	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope failure.
Surface Fault Rupture	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near locations of expected surface fault ruptures.
Ties Between Foundation Elements	Likely noncompliant as drawings do not indicate if foundations are adequately restrained by slabs. Further investigation should be performed. Additional foundation ties may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Wall Anchorage	Rosettes with anchors or straps are visible from exterior. Capacity unknown. Further investigation should be performed. Additional out-of-plane anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Wood Ledgers	Not visible. Drawings do indicate the presence of wood ledgers. Further investigation should be performed. Additional blocking and strapping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Masonry Layup	Collar joints not visible. Lateral system strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### 1.3.1 Nonstructural Seismic Deficiencies

The nonstructural seismic deficiencies identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each deficiency is also provided based on this evaluation. Some nonstructural deficiencies may be able to be mitigated by school district staff. Other nonstructural components that require more substantial mitigation may be more appropriately included in a long-term mitigation strategy. Some typical conceptual details for the seismic upgrade of nonstructural components can be found in the FEMA E-74 Excerpts appendix.

Table 1-5. Identified Nonstructural Seismic Deficiencies for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Main Building

	Description						
Deficiency	Description						
LSS-1 Fire Suppression Piping. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that seismic bracing for fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Bracing for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
LSS-2 Flexible Couplings. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed the flexible couplings on the fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Flexible coupling for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
LSS-4 Stair and Smoke Ducts.	No available record drawing information on stair pressurization and smoke duct and unable to						
HR-not required; LS-LMH;	verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that the duct bracings						
PR-LMH.	are nonexistent. Evaluation of duct bracing may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
S-1 Stair Enclosures. HR-not	It appears that the 12-to-1 height-to-thickness ratio is exceeded on the 2nd floor. Bracing for the						
required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	wall may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
CF-2 Tall Narrow Contents.	Unable to verify during site investigation. This item is typically noncompliant for contents more						
HR-not required; LS-H; PR-	than 6 ft high. It appears that many of the bookshelves are noncompliant. Brace tops of shelves						
MH.	taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.						
CF-3 Fall-Prone Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly not compliant for contents meeting the criteria. Heavy items on upper shelves should be restrained by netting or cabling to avoid becoming falling hazards.						

#### 1.3.2 Nonstructural Checklist Items Marked as 'U'nknown

Where building nonstructural component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information or limited observation, the nonstructural checklist items were marked as "unknown". These items require further investigation if definitive determination of compliance or noncompliance is desired. The unknown nonstructural checklist items identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each unknown item is also provided based on the evaluation.

Some nonstructural deficiencies may be able to be mitigated by school district staff. Other nonstructural components that require more substantial mitigation may be more appropriately included in a long-term mitigation strategy. Some typical conceptual details for the seismic upgrade of nonstructural components can be found in the FEMA E-74 Excerpts appendix.

Table 1-6. Identified Nonstructural Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Main Building

	al Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Main Building  Description						
LSS-3 Emergency Power. HR-	pesoription						
not required; LS-LMH; PR-	Use of emergency power was not verified with maintenance or facility staff. Evaluation of						
LMH.	emergency power equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
LSS-5 Sprinkler Ceiling							
Clearance. HR-not required;	No available record drawing information on sprinkle head clearance and unable to verify during						
LS-MH; PR-MH.	site investigation. Evaluation of penetrations may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
HM-1 Hazardous Material							
Equipment. HR-LMH; LS-	It is unknown if equipment is mounted on vibration isolators. Further investigation may be						
LMH; PR-LMH.	appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
HM-2 Hazardous Material	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. Further investigation may be appropriate						
Storage. HR-LMH; LS-LMH;	to mitigate seismic risk. Restraining breakable containers that hold hazardous material by latched						
PR-LMH.	doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
HM-3 Hazardous Material	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further						
Distribution. HR-MH; LS-	investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Bracing and anchoring of piping may be						
MH; PR-MH.	appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
IIM A CL + CCX 1 IID	It is unknown if the structure contains natural gas or other hazardous materials. Further						
HM-4 Shutoff Valves. HR-	investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Providing shutoff valves may be						
MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
HM-5 Flexible Couplings.	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further						
HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-	investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Flexible coupling for piping and						
LMH.	ductwork may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
P-3 Drift. HR-not required;	It is unknown if there are cementitious partitions in the building. However, it is unlikely. Further						
LS-MH; PR-MH.	investigation should be performed. Detailing to allow cementitious partitions to drift an adequate						
	amount during a seismic event may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
C-1 Suspended Lath and	It is unknown if the building has a lath and plaster ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced						
Plaster. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-	for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be						
LMH.	appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
C-2 Suspended Gypsum	It is unknown if the building has a gypsum board ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for						
Board. HR-not required; LS-	seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate						
MH; PR-LMH.	to mitigate seismic risk.						
LF-1 Independent Support.	It is unknown how much the light fixtures weigh. Based on the age of the building, it is unlikely						
•	that they are independently supported by the structure. Further investigation should be completed.						
MH.	Adding wires for suspending the light fixtures may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
	Glazing information is unknown. Based on the age of the building, it is likely that the glazing on						
	the windows are laminated or detailed to remain in the frame. Many individual panes are likely to						
not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	be below this threshold. Further investigation should be completed. Replacing applicable glazing						
	planes may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						

Unknown Item	Description
PCOA-4 Appendages. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	It is unknown how the cladding is connected to the structure. The masonry parapets are likely compliant as they would be extensions of the structural wall above the elevation of the roof diaphragm. The cladding should be investigated further. Additional anchoring of the cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
MC-2 Anchorage. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	It is unknown how the masonry chimney is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or removal of chimney may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
S-2 Stair Details. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	It is unknown how the stairs are connected to the structure. It is unlikely that there are post-installed anchors or if the stair details are capable of accommodating the drift. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring from stairs to the structure may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-1 Fall-Prone Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-2 In-Line Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-3 Tall Narrow Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Brace tops of equipment taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.
EL-1 Retainer Guards. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Unable to verify during site investigation. It does not appear that there are elevators per the original drawings. The elevator checklist items should be verified by an elevator designer or supplier.
EL-2 Retainer Plate. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Unable to verify during site investigation. It does not appear that there are elevators per the original drawings. The elevator checklist items should be verified by an elevator designer or supplier.

Photos:




Figure 1-1. Main Building, East Entrance




Figure 1-2. Main Building, Typical Exterior Wall System




Figure 1-3. Main Building, North Wall



Figure 1-4. Main Building, Partial West Elevation



Figure 1-5. Main Building, Auditorium




Figure 1-6. Main Building, Library




Figure 1-7. Main Building, Typical Electrical Equipment

# Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Main Building 17-2 Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist

Building record drawings have been reviewed, when available, and a non-destructive field investigation has been performed for the subject building. Each of the required checklist items are marked Compliant (C), Noncompliant (NC), Not Applicable (N/A), or Unknown (U). Items marked Compliant indicate conditions that satisfy the performance objective, whereas items marked Noncompliant or Unknown indicate conditions that do not. Certain statements might not apply to the building being evaluated.

#### **Low Seismicity**

#### **Building System - General**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Load Path	The structure contains a complete, well-defined load path, including structural elements and connections, that serves to transfer the inertial forces associated with the mass of all elements of the building to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.10)		X			Structure appears to have a well defined load path but standard of care at time of original construction in 1934 indicates deficiencies in structural elements and connections necessary to transfer inertial forces to the foundation. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear walls and anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Adjacent Buildings	The clear distance between the building being evaluated and any adjacent building is greater than 0.25% of the height of the shorter building in low seismicity, 0.5% in moderate seismicity, and 1.5% in high seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.2)	X				It does not appear that there are any immediately adjacent structures.
Mezzanines	Interior mezzanine levels are braced independently from the main structure or are anchored to the seismic-force-resisting elements of the main structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.3)			X		There does not appear to be an interior mezzanine.

#### **Building System - Building Configuration**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Weak Story	The sum of the shear strengths of the seismic-force-resisting system in any story in each direction is not less than 80% of the strength in the adjacent story above. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.1; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.2)	X				There does not appear to be a weak story irregularity.

Soft Story	The stiffness of the seismic-force-resisting system in any story is not less than 70% of the seismic-force-resisting system stiffness in an adjacent story above or less than 80% of the average seismic-force-resisting system stiffness of the three stories above. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.2; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.3)	X	There does not appear to be a soft story irregularity.
Vertical Irregularities	All vertical elements in the seismic-forceresisting system are continuous to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.3; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.4)	X	Vertical elements appear to be continuous to the foundation.
Geometry	There are no changes in the net horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system of more than 30% in a story relative to adjacent stories, excluding one-story penthouses and mezzanines. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.4; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.5)	X	There does not appear to be any changes to the horizontal dimension of the seismic force-resisting system.
Mass	There is no change in effective mass of more than 50% from one story to the next. Light roofs, penthouses, and mezzanines need not be considered. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.5; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.6)	X	There does not appear to be a mass irregularity.
Torsion	The estimated distance between the story center of mass and the story center of rigidity is less than 20% of the building width in either plan dimension. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.6; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.7)	X	There does not appear to be a torsional irregularity.

# Moderate Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low Seismicity)

# **Geologic Site Hazards**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Liquefaction	Liquefaction-susceptible, saturated, loose granular soils that could jeopardize the building's seismic performance do not exist in the foundation soils at depths within 50 ft (15.2 m) under the building. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.1)				X	The liquefaction potential of site soils is unknown at this time given available information. Very low liquefaction potential is identified per ICOS based on state geologic mapping. Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.
Slope Failure	The building site is located away from potential earthquake-induced slope failures or rockfalls so that it is unaffected by such failures or is capable of accommodating any predicted movements without failure. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.2)				X	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope failure.

					Requires further
Surface Fault Rupture	Surface fault rupture and surface displacement at the building site are not anticipated. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3)				investigation by a licensed
					geotechnical engineer to
				Λ	determine whether site is
					near locations of expected
					surface fault ruptures.

# $\textbf{High Seismicity} \ (\textbf{Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low and Moderate Seismicity})$

# **Foundation Configuration**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Overturning	The ratio of the least horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system at the foundation level to the building height (base/height) is greater than 0.6Sa. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.3; Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.1)		X			Elements of the seismic system appear to be slender relative to the overall height of the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear walls or shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Ties Between Foundation Elements	The foundation has ties adequate to resist seismic forces where footings, piles, and piers are not restrained by beams, slabs, or soils classified as Site Class A, B, or C. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.4; Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.2)				X	Likely noncompliant as drawings do not indicate if foundations are adequately restrained by slabs. Further investigation should be performed. Additional foundation ties may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# 17-36 Collapse Prevention Structural Checklist for Building Types URM and URMa

Building record drawings have been reviewed, when available, and a non-destructive field investigation has been performed for the subject building. Each of the required checklist items are marked Compliant (C), Noncompliant (NC), Not Applicable (N/A), or Unknown (U). Items marked Compliant indicate conditions that satisfy the performance objective, whereas items marked Noncompliant or Unknown indicate conditions that do not. Certain statements might not apply to the building being evaluated.

# Low and Moderate Seismicity

#### **Seismic-Force-Resisting System**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Redundancy	The number of lines of shear walls in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.1.1)	X				
Shear Stress Check	The shear stress in the unreinforced masonry shear walls, calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.3, is less than 30 lb/in.2 (0.21 MPa) for clay units and 70 lb/in.2 (0.48 MPa) for concrete units. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.1)		X			Wall shear stress per quick check does not appear to be compliant. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening or addition of shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### **Connections**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Wall Anchorage	Exterior concrete or masonry walls that are dependent on the diaphragm for lateral support are anchored for out-of-plane forces at each diaphragm level with steel anchors, reinforcing dowels, or straps that are developed into the diaphragm. Connections have strength to resist the connection force calculated in the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.7. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.1)				X	Rosettes with anchors or straps are visible from exterior. Capacity unknown. Further investigation should be performed. Additional out-of-plane anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Wood Ledgers	The connection between the wall panels and the diaphragm does not induce cross-grain bending or tension in the wood ledgers. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.2)				X	Not visible. Drawings do indicate the presence of wood ledgers. Further investigation should be performed. Additional blocking and strapping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

Transfer to Shear Walls	Diaphragms are connected for transfer of seismic forces to the shear walls. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.2; Commentary: Sec. A.5.2.1)	X	to the time Dra any between shear inverse perfections and another app.	ely noncompliant relative ne standard of care at e of original construction. wings do not indicate direct connection ween diaphragms and ar walls. Further estigation should be formed. Additional ohragm shear wall horing may be ropriate to mitigate mic risk.
Girder-Column Connection	There is a positive connection using plates, connection hardware, or straps between the girder and the column support. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.1; Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.1)	X	observed investigation	positive connections erved. Further estigation should be formed. Additional nection hardware may be ropriate to mitigate mic risk.

# High Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low and Moderate Seismicity)

#### **Seismic-Force-Resisting System**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Proportions	The height-to-thickness ratio of the shear walls at each story is less than the following: Top story of multi-story building – 9; First story of multi-story building – 15; All other conditions – 13. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.2)		X			Masonry shear walls do not appear to meet this requirement. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Masonry Layup	Filled collar joints of multi-wythe masonry walls have negligible voids. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.4.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.3)				X	Collar joints not visible.  Lateral system strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### **Diaphragms (Stiff or Flexible)**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Openings at Shear Walls	Diaphragm openings immediately adjacent to the shear walls are less than 25% of the wall length. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.4)			X		There does not appear to be any openings at shear walls.
1 2	Diaphragm openings immediately adjacent to exterior masonry shear walls are not greater than 8 ft (2.4 m) long. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.6)			X		There does not appear to be any openings at shear walls.

#### Flexible Diaphragms

EVALUATION ITEM EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
--------------------------------------	---	----	-----	---	---------

Cross Ties	There are continuous cross ties between diaphragm chords. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.2)		X		It does not appear that diaphragm chords are continuously tied. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Straight Sheathing	All straight-sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios less than 2-to-1 in the direction being considered. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.1)		X		Aspect ratios are greater than 2-to-1. Further investigation should be performed.  Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Spans	All wood diaphragms with spans greater than 24 ft (7.3 m) consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.2)			X	Diaphragms are assumed to be straight-sheathed.
Diagonally Sheathed and Unblocked Diaphragms	All diagonally sheathed or unblocked wood structural panel diaphragms have horizontal spans less than 40 ft (12.2 m) and aspect ratios less than or equal to 4 to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.3)			X	
Other Diaphragms	The diaphragms do not consist of a system other than wood, metal deck, concrete, or horizontal bracing. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.4.7.1)	X			

# Connections

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Stiffness of Wall Anchors	Anchors of concrete or masonry walls to wood structural elements are installed taut and are stiff enough to limit the relative movement between the wall and the diaphragm to no greater than 1/8 in. before engagement of the anchors. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.4)		X			No positive connections are indicated on drawings or visible in field. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Beam, Girder, and Truss Supports	Beams, girders, and trusses supported by unreinforced masonry walls or pilasters have independent secondary columns for support of vertical loads. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.4; Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.5)		X			No independent secondary columns are indicated on drawings or visible in field. Further investigation should be performed. Independent secondary columns for gravity system may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Main Building 17-38 Nonstructural Checklist

Notes:

C = Compliant, NC = Noncompliant, N/A = Not Applicable, and U = Unknown.

Performance Level: HR = Hazards Reduced, LS = Life Safety, and PR = Position Retention.

Level of Seismicity: L = Low, M = Moderate, and H = High

#### **Life Safety Systems**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
	Fire suppression piping is anchored and braced in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.1)		X			No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that seismic bracing for fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Bracing for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-2 Flexible Couplings. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Fire suppression piping has flexible couplings in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.2)		X			No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed the flexible couplings on the fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Flexible coupling for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-3 Emergency Power. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Equipment used to power or control Life Safety systems is anchored or braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.1)				X	Use of emergency power was not verified with maintenance or facility staff. Evaluation of emergency power equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

_					
LSS-4 Stair and Smoke Ducts. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Stair pressurization and smoke control ducts are braced and have flexible connections at seismic joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.1)	X			No available record drawing information on stair pressurization and smoke duct and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that the duct bracings are nonexistent. Evaluation of duct bracing may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-5 Sprinkler Ceiling Clearance. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Penetrations through panelized ceilings for fire suppression devices provide clearances in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.3)			X	No available record drawing information on sprinkle head clearance and unable to verify during site investigation. Evaluation of penetrations may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-6 Emergency Lighting. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-LMH	Emergency and egress lighting equipment is anchored or braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.1)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.

#### **Hazardous Materials**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
HM-1 Hazardous Material Equipment. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Equipment mounted on vibration isolators and containing hazardous material is equipped with restraints or snubbers. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.2)				X	It is unknown if equipment is mounted on vibration isolators. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-2 Hazardous Material Storage. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Breakable containers that hold hazardous material, including gas cylinders, are restrained by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.3; Commentary: Sec. A.7.15.1)				X	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk. Restraining breakable containers that hold hazardous material by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

HM-3 Hazardous	Piping or ductwork conveying hazardous				Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further
Material Distribution. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	IR-MH; LS-MH; PR- damage that would allow hazardous material release. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3.13.7.5:		X	investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Bracing and anchoring of piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	
HM-4 Shutoff Valves. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Piping containing hazardous material, including natural gas, has shutoff valves or other devices to limit spills or leaks. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.3)			X	It is unknown if the structure contains natural gas or other hazardous materials. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Providing shutoff valves may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-5 Flexible Couplings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Hazardous material ductwork and piping, including natural gas piping, have flexible couplings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.15.4)			X	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Flexible coupling for piping and ductwork may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-6 Piping or Ducts Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Piping or ductwork carrying hazardous material that either crosses seismic joints or isolation planes or is connected to independent structures has couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5, 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.6)		X		The building does not appear to contain seismic joints, isolation planes, or independent structures.

#### **Partitions**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
P-1 Unreinforced Masonry. HR-LMH; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Unreinforced masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions are braced at a spacing of at most 10 ft (3.0 m) in Low or Moderate Seismicity, or at most 6 ft (1.8 m) in High Seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.1)			X		Partitions do not appear to consist of unreinforced masonry or hollow-clay tile.
P-2 Heavy Partitions Supported by Ceilings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	The tops of masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions are not laterally supported by an integrated ceiling system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.1)			X		Partitions do not appear to consist of masonry or hollow-clay tile.

P-3 Drift. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Rigid cementitious partitions are detailed to accommodate the following drift ratios: in steel moment frame, concrete moment frame, and wood frame buildings, 0.02; in other buildings, 0.005. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.2)			X	It is unknown if there are cementitious partitions in the building. However, it is unlikely. Further investigation should be performed. Detailing to allow cementitious partitions to drift an adequate amount during a seismic event may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
P-4 Light Partitions Supported by Ceilings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The tops of gypsum board partitions are not laterally supported by an integrated ceiling system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.1)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.
P-5 Structural Separations. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Partitions that cross structural separations have seismic or control joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.3)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.
P-6 Tops. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The tops of ceiling-high framed or panelized partitions have lateral bracing to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft (1.8 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.4)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.

# Ceilings

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
C-1 Suspended Lath and Plaster. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Suspended lath and plaster ceilings have attachments that resist seismic forces for every 12 ft2 (1.1 m2) of area. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.3)				X	It is unknown if the building has a lath and plaster ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
C-2 Suspended Gypsum Board. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Suspended gypsum board ceilings have attachments that resist seismic forces for every 12 ft2 (1.1 m2) of area. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.3)				X	It is unknown if the building has a gypsum board ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

C-3 Integrated Ceilings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) and ceilings of smaller areas that are not surrounded by restraining partitions are laterally restrained at a spacing no greater than 12 ft (3.6 m) with members attached to the structure above. Each restraint location has a minimum of four diagonal wires and compression struts, or diagonal members capable of resisting compression. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.2)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-4 Edge Clearance. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The free edges of integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) have clearances from the enclosing wall or partition of at least the following: in Moderate Seismicity, 1/2 in. (13 mm); in High Seismicity, 3/4 in. (19 mm). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.4)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-5 Continuity Across Structure Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The ceiling system does not cross any seismic joint and is not attached to multiple independent structures. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.5)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-6 Edge Support. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The free edges of integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) are supported by closure angles or channels not less than 2 in. (51 mm) wide. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.6)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-7 Seismic Joints. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Acoustical tile or lay-in panel ceilings have seismic separation joints such that each continuous portion of the ceiling is no more than 2,500 ft2 (232.3 m2) and has a ratio of long-to-short dimension no more than 4-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.7)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# **Light Fixtures**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
LF-1 Independent Support. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Light fixtures that weigh more per square foot than the ceiling they penetrate are supported independent of the grid ceiling suspension system by a minimum of two wires at diagonally opposite corners of each fixture. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4, 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.2)				X	It is unknown how much the light fixtures weigh. Based on the age of the building, it is unlikely that they are independently supported by the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding wires for suspending the light fixtures may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

LF-2 Pendant Supports. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Light fixtures on pendant supports are attached at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft. Unbraced suspended fixtures are free to allow a 360-degree range of motion at an angle not less than 45 degrees from horizontal without contacting adjacent components. Alternatively, if rigidly supported and/or braced, they are free to move with the structure to which they are attached without damaging adjoining components. Additionally, the connection to the structure is capable of accommodating the movement without failure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.3)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
LF-3 Lens Covers. HR-	Lens covers on light fixtures are attached with			
not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	safety devices. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.4)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# **Cladding and Glazing**

Clauding and Glazing			1	1		1
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
CG-1 Cladding Anchors. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Cladding components weighing more than 10 lb/ft2 (0.48 kN/m2) are mechanically anchored to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 6 ft (1.8 m); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 4 ft (1.2 m) (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.1)			X		The building does not appear to have any cladding components.
CG-2 Cladding Isolation. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	For steel or concrete moment-frame buildings, panel connections are detailed to accommodate a story drift ratio by the use of rods attached to framing with oversize holes or slotted holes of at least the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 0.01; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 0.02, and the rods have a length-to-diameter ratio of 4.0 or less. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.3)			X		The building is not a steel or concrete moment frame building.
CG-3 Multi-Story Panels. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For multi-story panels attached at more than one floor level, panel connections are detailed to accommodate a story drift ratio by the use of rods attached to framing with oversize holes or slotted holes of at least the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 0.01; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 0.02, and the rods have a length-to-diameter ratio of 4.0 or less. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.4)			X		The building does not appear to have any multistory panels.

CG-4 Threaded Rods. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Threaded rods for panel connections detailed to accommodate drift by bending of the rod have a length-to-diameter ratio greater than 0.06 times the story height in inches for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity and 0.12 times the story	X	X		The building does not have any cladding components.	
IVIII, I K-IVIII.	height in inches for Life Safety in High Seismicity and Position Retention in any seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.9)					
CG-5 Panel Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Cladding panels are anchored out of plane with a minimum number of connections for each wall panel, as follows: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 2 connections; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 4 connections. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.5)		X		The building does not appear to have any cladding components.	
CG-6 Bearing Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Where bearing connections are used, there is a minimum of two bearing connections for each cladding panel. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.6)		X		The building does not appear to have any cladding components.	
CG-7 Inserts. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Where concrete cladding components use inserts, the inserts have positive anchorage or are anchored to reinforcing steel. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.7)		X		There are no concrete cladding components.	
CG-8 Overhead Glazing. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Glazing panes of any size in curtain walls and individual interior or exterior panes more than 16 ft2 (1.5 m2) in area are laminated annealed or laminated heat-strengthened glass and are detailed to remain in the frame when cracked. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.8)			X	Glazing information is unknown. Based on the age of the building, it is likely that the glazing on the windows are laminated or detailed to remain in the frame. Many individual panes are likely to be below this threshold. Further investigation should be completed. Replacing applicable glazing planes may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	

#### **Masonry Veneer**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
M-1 Ties. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Masonry veneer is connected to the backup with corrosion-resistant ties. There is a minimum of one tie for every 2-2/3 ft2 (0.25 m2), and the ties have spacing no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 36 in. (914 mm); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 24 in. (610 mm). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.1)			X		It does not appear that there is a masonry veneer.
M-2 Shelf Angles. HR- not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is supported by shelf angles or other elements at each floor above the ground floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.2)			X		It does not appear that there is a masonry veneer.
M-3 Weakened Planes. HR-not required; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is anchored to the backup adjacent to weakened planes, such as at the locations of flashing. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.3)			X		It does not appear that there is a masonry veneer.
M-4 Unreinforced Masonry Backup. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	There is no unreinforced masonry backup. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.7.2)			X		It does not appear that there is a veneer.
M-5 Stud Tracks. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For veneer with coldformed steel stud backup, stud tracks are fastened to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than 24 in. (610 mm) on center. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.6.)			X		It does not appear that there is a veneer.
M-6 Anchorage. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For veneer with concrete block or masonry backup, the backup is positively anchored to the structure at a horizontal spacing equal to or less than 4 ft along the floors and roof. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.7.1)			X		It does not appear that there is a veneer.
M-7 Weep Holes. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	In veneer anchored to stud walls, the veneer has functioning weep holes and base flashing. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
M-8 Openings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	For veneer with cold-formed-steel stud backup, steel studs frame window and door openings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.6.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

# Parapets, Cornices, Ornamentation, and Appendages

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
PCOA-1 URM Parapets or Cornices. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.		X				It appears that the masonry parapets meet the 1.5 height-to-thickness ratios.

PCOA-2 Canopies. HR- not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Canopies at building exits are anchored to the structure at a spacing no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 10 ft (3.0 m); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 6 ft (1.8 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.2)		X		There does not appear to be any canopies around the perimeter of the structure.
PCOA-3 Concrete Parapets. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Concrete parapets with height-to-thickness ratios greater than 2.5 have vertical reinforcement. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.3)		X		There are no concrete parapets.
PCOA-4 Appendages. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- LMH.	Cornices, parapets, signs, and other ornamentation or appendages that extend above the highest point of anchorage to the structure or cantilever from components are reinforced and anchored to the structural system at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft (1.8 m). This evaluation statement item does not apply to parapets or cornices covered by other evaluation statements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.4)			X	It is unknown how the cladding is connected to the structure. The masonry parapets are likely compliant as they would be extensions of the structural wall above the elevation of the roof diaphragm. The cladding should be investigated further. Additional anchoring of the cladding may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# **Masonry Chimneys**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
MC-1 URM Chimneys. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Unreinforced masonry chimneys extend above the roof surface no more than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 3 times the least dimension of the chimney; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 2 times the least dimension of the chimney. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.9.1)	X				It appears that the masonry chimney does not exceed 2 times its least dimension above the roof surface.
MC-2 Anchorage. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Masonry chimneys are anchored at each floor level, at the topmost ceiling level, and at the roof. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.9.2)				X	It is unknown how the masonry chimney is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or removal of chimney may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### **Stairs**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
S-1 Stair Enclosures. HR-not required; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Hollow-clay tile or unreinforced masonry walls around stair enclosures are restrained out of plane and have height-to-thickness ratios not greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 15-to-1; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 12-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2, 13.6.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.10.1)		X			It appears that the 12-to-1 height-to-thickness ratio is exceeded on the 2nd floor. Bracing for the wall may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
S-2 Stair Details. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	The connection between the stairs and the structure does not rely on post-installed anchors in concrete or masonry, and the stair details are capable of accommodating the drift calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.1 for moment-frame structures or 0.5 in. for all other structures without including any lateral stiffness contribution from the stairs. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.10.2)				X	It is unknown how the stairs are connected to the structure. It is unlikely that there are post-installed anchors or if the stair details are capable of accommodating the drift. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring from stairs to the structure may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# **Contents and Furnishings**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
CF-1 Industrial Storage Racks. HR-LMH; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Industrial storage racks or pallet racks more than 12 ft high meet the requirements of ANSI/RMI MH 16.1 as modified by ASCE 7, Chapter 15. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.1)			X		Unable to verify during site investigation. It is unlikely that there are 12 ft high storage racks in the building.
CF-2 Tall Narrow Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Contents more than 6 ft (1.8 m) high with a height-to-depth or height-to-width ratio greater than 3-to-1 are anchored to the structure or to each other. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.2)		X			Unable to verify during site investigation. This item is typically noncompliant for contents more than 6 ft high. It appears that many of the bookshelves are noncompliant. Brace tops of shelves taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.

CF-3 Fall-Prone Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment, stored items, or other contents weighing more than 20 lb (9.1 kg) whose center of mass is more than 4 ft (1.2 m) above the adjacent floor level are braced or otherwise restrained. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.3)	X		Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly not compliant for contents meeting the criteria. Heavy items on upper shelves should be restrained by netting or cabling to avoid becoming falling hazards.
CF-4 Access Floors. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Access floors more than 9 in. (229 mm) high are braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.10; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.4)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
CF-5 Equipment on Access Floors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Equipment and other contents supported by access floor systems are anchored or braced to the structure independent of the access floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7 13.6.10; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.5)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
CF-6 Suspended Contents. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Items suspended without lateral bracing are free to swing from or move with the structure from which they are suspended without damaging themselves or adjoining components. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.6)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

#### **Mechanical and Electrical Equipment**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
ME-1 Fall-Prone Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment weighing more than 20 lb (9.1 kg) whose center of mass is more than 4 ft (1.2 m) above the adjacent floor level, and which is not in-line equipment, is braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.4)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-2 In-Line Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment installed in line with a duct or piping system, with an operating weight more than 75 lb (34.0 kg), is supported and laterally braced independent of the duct or piping system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.5)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-3 Tall Narrow Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Equipment more than 6 ft (1.8 m) high with a height-to-depth or height-to-width ratio greater than 3-to-1 is anchored to the floor slab or adjacent structural walls. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.6)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Brace tops of equipment taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.
ME-4 Mechanical Doors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Mechanically operated doors are detailed to operate at a story drift ratio of 0.01. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.7)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

ME-5 Suspended Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment suspended without lateral bracing is free to swing from or move with the structure from which it is suspended without damaging itself or adjoining components. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1, 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.8)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
	Equipment mounted on vibration isolators is equipped with horizontal restraints or snubbers and with vertical restraints to resist overturning. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.9)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-7 Heavy Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Floor supported or platform-supported equipment weighing more than 400 lb (181.4 kg) is anchored to the structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1, 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.10)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-8 Electrical Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Electrical equipment is laterally braced to the structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.11)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-9 Conduit Couplings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Conduit greater than 2.5 in. (64 mm) trade size that is attached to panels, cabinets, or other equipment and is subject to relative seismic displacement has flexible couplings or connections. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.12)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# Piping

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
PP-1 Flexible Couplings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Fluid and gas piping has flexible couplings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-2 Fluid and Gas Piping. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Fluid and gas piping is anchored and braced to the structure to limit spills or leaks. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-3 C-Clamps. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	One-sided C-clamps that support piping larger than 2.5 in. (64 mm) in diameter are restrained. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.5)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-4 Piping Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Piping that crosses seismic joints or isolation planes or is connected to independent structures has couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

#### **Ducts**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
D-1 Duct Bracing. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Rectangular ductwork larger than 6 ft2 (0.56 m2) in cross-sectional area and round ducts larger than 28 in. (711 mm) in diameter are braced. The maximum spacing of transverse bracing does not exceed 30 ft (9.2 m). The maximum spacing of longitudinal bracing does not exceed 60 ft (18.3 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
D-2 Duct Support. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Ducts are not supported by piping or electrical conduit. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.3)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
D-3 Ducts Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Ducts that cross seismic joints or isolation planes or are connected to independent structures have couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

#### **Elevators**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
EL-1 Retainer Guards. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Sheaves and drums have cable retainer guards. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.1)				X	Unable to verify during site investigation. It does not appear that there are elevators per the original drawings. The elevator checklist items should be verified by an elevator designer or supplier.
	A retainer plate is present at the top and bottom of both car and counterweight. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.2)				X	Unable to verify during site investigation. It does not appear that there are elevators per the original drawings. The elevator checklist items should be verified by an elevator designer or supplier.
EL-3 Elevator Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment, piping, and other components that are part of the elevator system are anchored. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.3)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-4 Seismic Switch. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Elevators capable of operating at speeds of 150 ft/min or faster are equipped with seismic switches that meet the requirements of ASME A17.1 or have trigger levels set to 20% of the acceleration of gravity at the base of the structure and 50% of the acceleration of gravity in other locations. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

EL-5 Shaft Walls. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Elevator shaft walls are anchored and reinforced to prevent toppling into the shaft during strong shaking. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.5)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-6 Counterweight Rails. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	All counterweight rails and divider beams are sized in accordance with ASME A17.1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.6)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-7 Brackets. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The brackets that tie the car rails and the counterweight rail to the structure are sized in accordance with ASME A17.1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.7)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-8 Spreader Bracket. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Spreader brackets are not used to resist seismic forces. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.8)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-9 Go-Slow Elevators. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The building has a go-slow elevator system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.9)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# 1. Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Math Science Annex

# 1.1 Building Description

Building Name: Math Science Annex

Facility Name: Port Townsend High

School

District Name: Port Townsend

ICOS Latitude: 48.118
ICOS Longitude: -122.768

**ICOS** 

County/District ID:

ICOS Building ID: 10330
ASCE 41 Bldg Type: URM
Enrollment: 366

Gross Sq. Ft.: 13,169

Year Built: 1928

Number of Stories: 2

S<sub>XS BSE-2E</sub>: 1.066

S<sub>X1 BSE-2E</sub>: 0.630

ASCE 41 Level of

Seismicity: High

Site Class: D  $V_{S30}$ (m/s): 355

Liquefaction

very low

Potential:

Tsunami Risk: Extremely Low

Structural Drawings Available: Yes

Evaluating Firm: Reid Middleton, Inc.





Port Townsend High School, in Jefferson County, is a public school that serves approximately 375 students in grades 9-12. It is one of the oldest high schools in Washington State, graduating its first class in 1891. The Math Science Annex at Port Townsend High School is a 3-story building with exterior unreinforced masonry (brick/structural clay tile) bearing walls and wood frame floors and roof. The original building was constructed in 1928 with the last major renovation taking place in 1996.

#### 1.1.1 Building Use

The Math Science Annex consists of classrooms and laboratory spaces.

#### 1.1.2 Structural System

Table 1.1-1. Structural System Description of Port Townsend High School

Structural System	Description
Structural Roof	Roof framing consists of wood sheathing on wood beams.
Structural Floor(s)	Floor framing consists of wood sheathing on wood beams.
Foundations	Foundations consist of shallow cast-in-place concrete footings and stem walls.
Gravity System	The gravity system is wood frame with unreinforced masonry bearing walls.
Lataral System	The lateral-force-resisting-system is unreinforced masonry (brick/structural clay
Lateral System	tile) bearing walls with wood frame diaphragms at floor and roof levels.

# 1.1.3 Structural System Visual Condition

Table 1.1-2. Structural System Condition Description of Port Townsend High School

Structural System	Description
Structural Roof	The roof structure appears to be in satisfactory condition.
Structural Floor(s)	The structural floors appear to be in satisfactory condition.
Foundations	Foundations are not visible but appear to be in satisfactory condition with no apparent issues.
Gravity System	The gravity system appears to be in satisfactory condition.
Lateral System	The lateral force resisting system appears to be in satisfactory condition.

# 1.2 Seismic Evaluation Findings

#### 1.2.1 Structural Seismic Deficiencies

The structural seismic deficiencies identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each deficiency is also provided based on this evaluation.

Table 1-3. Identified Structural Seismic Deficiencies for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Math Science Annex

Deficiency	Description
Load Path	Likely non-compliant relative to original 1928 construction and 1958 renovation. Likely compliant for portion of building added in 1996. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear walls and anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Overturning	Assumed URM wall piers used to resist lateral forces are non-compliant. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear walls or shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Shear Stress Check	Wall shear stress per quick check does not appear to be compliant. Walls appear to be brick backed by structural clay tile. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening or addition of shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Transfer to Shear Walls	Likely non-compliant relative to original 1928 construction. Some anchors observed at floor/roof diaphragms, capacity unknown. Additional diaphragm shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Proportions	Masonry shear walls do not meet this requirement. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Beam	Girder, and Truss Supports,No independent secondary columns exist in building. Further investigation should be performed. Independent secondary columns for gravity system may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### 1.2.2 Structural Checklist Items Marked as 'U'nknown

Where building structural component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information or limited observation, the structural checklist items were marked as "unknown". These items require further investigation if definitive determination of compliance or noncompliance is desired. The unknown structural checklist items identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each unknown item is also provided based on the evaluation.

Table 1-4. Identified Structural Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Math Science Annex

Unknown Item	Description
Liquefaction	The liquefaction potential of site soils is unknown at this time given available information. Very low liquefaction potential is identified per ICOS based on state geologic mapping. Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.
Slope Failure	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope failure.
Surface Fault Rupture	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near locations of expected surface fault ruptures.
Ties Between Foundation Elements	No information available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional foundation ties may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Wall Anchorage	Steel reinforcing steel dowels or anchors have been added at the 2nd floor and Roof levels of the building, likely during the 1996 modernization but no information available to assess adequacy. Further investigation should be performed. Additional out-of-plane anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Wood Ledgers	Likely non-compliant relative to original 1928 construction. Further investigation should be performed.  Additional blocking and strapping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Girder-Column Connection	Likely non-compliant relative to original 1928 construction. Further investigation should be performed.  Additional connection hardware may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Masonry Layup	Collar joints not visible. Lateral system strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Cross Ties	No available information. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Stiffness of Wall Anchors	No available information. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### 1.3.1 Nonstructural Seismic Deficiencies

The nonstructural seismic deficiencies identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each deficiency is also provided based on this evaluation. Some nonstructural deficiencies may be able to be mitigated by school district staff. Other nonstructural components that require more substantial mitigation may be more appropriately included in a long-term mitigation strategy. Some typical conceptual details for the seismic upgrade of nonstructural components can be found in the FEMA E-74 Excerpts appendix.

Table 1-5. Identified Nonstructural Seismic Deficiencies for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Math Science Annex

Deficiency	Description						
LSS-1 Fire Suppression Piping. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during sit investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that seismic bracing for fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Bracing for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
LSS-2 Flexible Couplings. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed the flexible couplings on the fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Flexible coupling for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
LSS-4 Stair and Smoke Ducts. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	No available record drawing information on stair pressurization and smoke duct and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that the duct bracings are nonexistent. Evaluation of duct bracing may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
LF-1 Independent Support. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	It is unclear how much the light fixtures weigh. However, it appears that the light fixtures are not suspended independently from the ceiling. Further investigation should be completed. Adding wires for suspending the light fixtures may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.						
CF-2 Tall Narrow Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly noncompliant for contents meeting the criteria. Brace tops of shelves taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.						
CF-3 Fall-Prone Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly not compliant for contents meeting the criteria. Heavy items on upper shelves should be restrained by netting or cabling to avoid becoming falling hazards.						

#### 1.3.2 Nonstructural Checklist Items Marked as 'U'nknown

Where building nonstructural component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information or limited observation, the nonstructural checklist items were marked as "unknown". These items require further investigation if definitive determination of compliance or noncompliance is desired. The unknown nonstructural checklist items identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each unknown item is also provided based on the evaluation.

Some nonstructural deficiencies may be able to be mitigated by school district staff. Other nonstructural components that require more substantial mitigation may be more appropriately included in a long-term mitigation strategy. Some typical conceptual details for the seismic upgrade of nonstructural components can be found in the FEMA E-74 Excerpts appendix.

Table 1-6. Identified Nonstructural Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Math Science Annex

Annex								
Unknown Item	Description							
LSS-3 Emergency Power. HR-	. Use of emergency power was not verified with maintenance or facility staff. Evaluation of							
not required; LS-LMH; PR-	emergency power equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
LMH.								
LSS-5 Sprinkler Ceiling	No available record drawing information on sprinkle head clearance and unable to verify during							
Clearance. HR-not required;	site investigation. Evaluation of penetrations may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
LS-MH; PR-MH.								
HM-1 Hazardous Material	It is unknown if equipment is mounted on vibration isolators. Further investigation may be							
Equipment. HR-LMH; LS-	appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
LMH; PR-LMH.								
HM-2 Hazardous Material	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. Further investigation may be appropriate							
Storage. HR-LMH; LS-LMH;	to mitigate seismic risk. Restraining breakable containers that hold hazardous material by latched							
PR-LMH.	doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
HM-3 Hazardous Material	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further							
Distribution. HR-MH; LS-	investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Bracing and anchoring of piping may be							
MH; PR-MH.	appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
HM-4 Shutoff Valves. HR-	It is unknown if the structure contains natural gas or other hazardous materials. Further							
MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Providing shutoff valves may be							
	appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
HM-5 Flexible Couplings.	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further							
HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-	investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Flexible coupling for piping and							
LMH.	ductwork may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
P-3 Drift. HR-not required;	It is unknown if there are cementitious partitions in the building. However, it is unlikely. Further							
LS-MH; PR-MH.	investigation should be performed. Detailing to allow cementitious partitions to drift an adequate							
	amount during a seismic event may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
C-1 Suspended Lath and	It is unknown if the building has a lath and plaster ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced							
Plaster. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-	for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be							
LMH.	appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
C-2 Suspended Gypsum	It is unknown if the building has a gypsum board ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for							
Board. HR-not required; LS-	seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate							
MH; PR-LMH.	to mitigate seismic risk.							
	Glazing information is unknown. Based on the age of the building, it is likely that the glazing on							
CG-8 Overhead Glazing. HR-	the windows are laminated or detailed to remain in the frame. Many individual panes are likely to							
not required; LS-MH; PR-MH	be below this threshold. Further investigation should be completed. Replacing applicable glazing							
	planes may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
PCOA-1 URM Parapets or	The unreinforced parapet details are unknown. Further investigation should be performed.							
Cornices. HR-LMH; LS-	Reinforcement for the parapets may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							
LMH; PR-LMH.	reministration for the parapole may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.							

Unknown Item	Description
S-1 Stair Enclosures. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	The details of the stair enclosure are unclear. It is likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Additional bracing for the wall around stair enclosures may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
S-2 Stair Details. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	The details of the stair enclosure are unclear. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring from stairs to the structure may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-1 Fall-Prone Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-2 In-Line Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-3 Tall Narrow Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Brace tops of equipment taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.
EL-1 Retainer Guards. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	The building does not appear to have any elevators. However, it is a 2-story structure and there may be an elevator. The elevator checklist items should be verified by an elevator designer or supplier.
EL-2 Retainer Plate. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	The building does not appear to have any elevators. However, it is a 2-story structure and there may be an elevator. The elevator checklist items should be verified by an elevator designer or supplier.



Figure 1-1. Math/Science Annex, Southeast Corner



Figure 1-2. Math/Science Annex, South Elevation



Figure 1-3. Math/Science Annex, South Elevation



Figure 1-4. Math/Science Annex, West Elevation



Figure 1-5. Math/Science Annex, North Entry




Figure 1-6. Math /Science Annex, Typical Ceiling System




Figure 1-7. Math/Science Annex, Typical Window/Ceiling System




Figure 1-8. Math/Science Annex, Mechanical Room



Figure 1-9. Math/Science Annex, Mechanical Room

# Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Math Science Annex 17-2 Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist

Building record drawings have been reviewed, when available, and a non-destructive field investigation has been performed for the subject building. Each of the required checklist items are marked Compliant (C), Noncompliant (NC), Not Applicable (N/A), or Unknown (U). Items marked Compliant indicate conditions that satisfy the performance objective, whereas items marked Noncompliant or Unknown indicate conditions that do not. Certain statements might not apply to the building being evaluated.

#### **Low Seismicity**

#### **Building System - General**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Load Path	The structure contains a complete, well-defined load path, including structural elements and connections, that serves to transfer the inertial forces associated with the mass of all elements of the building to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.10)		X			Likely non-compliant relative to original 1928 construction and 1958 renovation. Likely compliant for portion of building added in 1996. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear walls and anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Adjacent Buildings	The clear distance between the building being evaluated and any adjacent building is greater than 0.25% of the height of the shorter building in low seismicity, 0.5% in moderate seismicity, and 1.5% in high seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.2)	X				It does not appear that there are any immediately adjacent structures.
Mezzanines	Interior mezzanine levels are braced independently from the main structure or are anchored to the seismic-force-resisting elements of the main structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.3)			X		There does not appear to be an interior mezzanine.

#### **Building System - Building Configuration**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Weak Story	The sum of the shear strengths of the seismic- force-resisting system in any story in each direction is not less than 80% of the strength in the adjacent story above. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.1; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.2)	X				There does not appear to be a weak story irregularity.
Soft Story	The stiffness of the seismic-force-resisting system in any story is not less than 70% of the seismic-force-resisting system stiffness in an adjacent story above or less than 80% of the average seismic-force-resisting system stiffness of the three stories above. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.2; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.3)	X				There does not appear to be a soft story irregularity.

Vertical Irregularities	All vertical elements in the seismic-forceresisting system are continuous to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.3; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.4)	X	Vertical elements appear to be continuous to the foundation.
Geometry	There are no changes in the net horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system of more than 30% in a story relative to adjacent stories, excluding one-story penthouses and mezzanines. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.4; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.5)	X	There does not appear to be any changes to the horizontal dimension of the seismic force-resisting system.
Mass	There is no change in effective mass of more than 50% from one story to the next. Light roofs, penthouses, and mezzanines need not be considered. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.5; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.6)	X	There does not appear to be a mass irregularity.
Torsion	The estimated distance between the story center of mass and the story center of rigidity is less than 20% of the building width in either plan dimension. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.6; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.7)	X	There does not appear to be a torsional irregularity.

# Moderate Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low Seismicity)

# **Geologic Site Hazards**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Liquefaction	Liquefaction-susceptible, saturated, loose granular soils that could jeopardize the building's seismic performance do not exist in the foundation soils at depths within 50 ft (15.2 m) under the building. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.1)				X	The liquefaction potential of site soils is unknown at this time given available information. Very low liquefaction potential is identified per ICOS based on state geologic mapping. Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.
Slope Failure	The building site is located away from potential earthquake-induced slope failures or rockfalls so that it is unaffected by such failures or is capable of accommodating any predicted movements without failure. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.2)				X	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope failure.
Surface Fault Rupture	Surface fault rupture and surface displacement at the building site are not anticipated. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3)				X	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near locations of expected surface fault ruptures.

# High Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low and Moderate Seismicity)

#### **Foundation Configuration**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Overturning	The ratio of the least horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system at the foundation level to the building height (base/height) is greater than 0.6Sa. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.3; Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.1)		X			Assumed URM wall piers used to resist lateral forces are non-compliant. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear walls or shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Ties Between Foundation Elements	The foundation has ties adequate to resist seismic forces where footings, piles, and piers are not restrained by beams, slabs, or soils classified as Site Class A, B, or C. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.4; Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.2)				X	No information available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional foundation ties may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# 17-36 Collapse Prevention Structural Checklist for Building Types URM and URMa

Building record drawings have been reviewed, when available, and a non-destructive field investigation has been performed for the subject building. Each of the required checklist items are marked Compliant (C), Noncompliant (NC), Not Applicable (N/A), or Unknown (U). Items marked Compliant indicate conditions that satisfy the performance objective, whereas items marked Noncompliant or Unknown indicate conditions that do not. Certain statements might not apply to the building being evaluated.

# Low and Moderate Seismicity

#### **Seismic-Force-Resisting System**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Redundancy	The number of lines of shear walls in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.1.1)	X				
Shear Stress Check	The shear stress in the unreinforced masonry shear walls, calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.3, is less than 30 lb/in.2 (0.21 MPa) for clay units and 70 lb/in.2 (0.48 MPa) for concrete units. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.1)		X			Wall shear stress per quick check does not appear to be compliant. Walls appear to be brick backed by structural clay tile. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening or addition of shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### **Connections**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Wall Anchorage	Exterior concrete or masonry walls that are dependent on the diaphragm for lateral support are anchored for out-of-plane forces at each diaphragm level with steel anchors, reinforcing dowels, or straps that are developed into the diaphragm. Connections have strength to resist the connection force calculated in the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.7. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.1)		INC.	IV/A		Steel reinforcing steel dowels or anchors have been added at the 2nd floor and Roof levels of the building, likely during the 1996 modernization but no information available to assess adequacy. Further investigation should be performed. Additional out-of-plane anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

Wood Ledgers	The connection between the wall panels and the diaphragm does not induce cross-grain bending or tension in the wood ledgers. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.2)		X	Likely non-compliant relative to original 1928 construction. Further investigation should be performed. Additional blocking and strapping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Transfer to Shear Walls	Diaphragms are connected for transfer of seismic forces to the shear walls. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.2; Commentary: Sec. A.5.2.1)	X		Likely non-compliant relative to original 1928 construction. Some anchors observed at floor/roof diaphragms, capacity unknown. Additional diaphragm shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Girder-Column Connection	There is a positive connection using plates, connection hardware, or straps between the girder and the column support. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.1; Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.1)		X	Likely non-compliant relative to original 1928 construction. Further investigation should be performed. Additional connection hardware may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# High Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low and Moderate Seismicity)

#### **Seismic-Force-Resisting System**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Proportions	The height-to-thickness ratio of the shear walls at each story is less than the following: Top story of multi-story building – 9; First story of multi-story building – 15; All other conditions – 13. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.2)		X			Masonry shear walls do not meet this requirement. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Masonry Layup	Filled collar joints of multi-wythe masonry walls have negligible voids. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.4.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.5.3)				X	Collar joints not visible. Lateral system strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### **Diaphragms (Stiff or Flexible)**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Unenings at Shear	Diaphragm openings immediately adjacent to the shear walls are less than 25% of the wall length. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.4)			X		

	Diaphragm openings immediately adjacent to			
Openings at Exterior	exterior masonry shear walls are not greater than		v	
Masonry Shear Walls	8 ft (2.4 m) long. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.3;		Λ	
	Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.6)			

# Flexible Diaphragms

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Cross Ties	There are continuous cross ties between diaphragm chords. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.2)				X	No available information. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Straight Sheathing	All straight-sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios less than 2-to-1 in the direction being considered. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.1)	X				
Spans	All wood diaphragms with spans greater than 24 ft (7.3 m) consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.2)			X		Diaphragms are straight-sheathed.
Diagonally Sheathed and Unblocked Diaphragms	All diagonally sheathed or unblocked wood structural panel diaphragms have horizontal spans less than 40 ft (12.2 m) and aspect ratios less than or equal to 4 to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.3)			X		
Other Diaphragms	The diaphragms do not consist of a system other than wood, metal deck, concrete, or horizontal bracing. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.4.7.1)	X				

# Connections

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Stiffness of Wall Anchors	Anchors of concrete or masonry walls to wood structural elements are installed taut and are stiff enough to limit the relative movement between the wall and the diaphragm to no greater than 1/8 in. before engagement of the anchors. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.4)				X	No available information. Further investigation should be performed. Additional shear wall anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Beam, Girder, and Truss Supports	Beams, girders, and trusses supported by unreinforced masonry walls or pilasters have independent secondary columns for support of vertical loads. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.4; Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.5)		X			No independent secondary columns exist in building. Further investigation should be performed. Independent secondary columns for gravity system may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Math Science Annex 17-38 Nonstructural Checklist

Notes:

C = Compliant, NC = Noncompliant, N/A = Not Applicable, and U = Unknown.

Performance Level: HR = Hazards Reduced, LS = Life Safety, and PR = Position Retention.

Level of Seismicity: L = Low, M = Moderate, and H = High

#### **Life Safety Systems**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
LSS-1 Fire Suppression Piping. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Fire suppression piping is anchored and braced in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.1)		X			No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that seismic bracing for fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Bracing for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-2 Flexible Couplings. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Fire suppression piping has flexible couplings in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.2)		X			No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed the flexible couplings on the fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Flexible coupling for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-3 Emergency Power. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Equipment used to power or control Life Safety systems is anchored or braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.1)				X	Use of emergency power was not verified with maintenance or facility staff. Evaluation of emergency power equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

LSS-4 Stair and Smoke Ducts. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Stair pressurization and smoke control ducts are braced and have flexible connections at seismic joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.1)	X			No available record drawing information on stair pressurization and smoke duct and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that the duct bracings are nonexistent. Evaluation of duct bracing may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-5 Sprinkler Ceiling Clearance. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Penetrations through panelized ceilings for fire suppression devices provide clearances in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.3)			X	No available record drawing information on sprinkle head clearance and unable to verify during site investigation. Evaluation of penetrations may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-6 Emergency Lighting. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-LMH	Emergency and egress lighting equipment is anchored or braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.1)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.

#### **Hazardous Materials**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
HM-1 Hazardous Material Equipment. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Equipment mounted on vibration isolators and containing hazardous material is equipped with restraints or snubbers. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.2)				X	It is unknown if equipment is mounted on vibration isolators. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-2 Hazardous Material Storage. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Breakable containers that hold hazardous material, including gas cylinders, are restrained by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.3; Commentary: Sec. A.7.15.1)				х	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk. Restraining breakable containers that hold hazardous material by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

HM-3 Hazardous	Piping or ductwork conveying hazardous				Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further
Material Distribution. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	MH; LS-MH; PR- damage that would allow hazardous material release (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5:		X	investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Bracing and anchoring of piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	
HM-4 Shutoff Valves. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Piping containing hazardous material, including natural gas, has shutoff valves or other devices to limit spills or leaks. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.3)			X	It is unknown if the structure contains natural gas or other hazardous materials. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Providing shutoff valves may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-5 Flexible Couplings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Hazardous material ductwork and piping, including natural gas piping, have flexible couplings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.15.4)			X	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Flexible coupling for piping and ductwork may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-6 Piping or Ducts Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Piping or ductwork carrying hazardous material that either crosses seismic joints or isolation planes or is connected to independent structures has couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5, 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.6)		X		The building does not appear to contain seismic joints, isolation planes, or independent structures.

#### **Partitions**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
P-1 Unreinforced Masonry. HR-LMH; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Unreinforced masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions are braced at a spacing of at most 10 ft (3.0 m) in Low or Moderate Seismicity, or at most 6 ft (1.8 m) in High Seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.1)			X		Partitions do not appear to consist of masonry or hollow-clay tile.
P-2 Heavy Partitions Supported by Ceilings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	The tops of masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions are not laterally supported by an integrated ceiling system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.1)			X		Partitions do not appear to consist of masonry or hollow-clay tile.

P-3 Drift. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Rigid cementitious partitions are detailed to accommodate the following drift ratios: in steel moment frame, concrete moment frame, and wood frame buildings, 0.02; in other buildings, 0.005. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.2)			X	It is unknown if there are cementitious partitions in the building. However, it is unlikely. Further investigation should be performed. Detailing to allow cementitious partitions to drift an adequate amount during a seismic event may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
P-4 Light Partitions Supported by Ceilings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The tops of gypsum board partitions are not laterally supported by an integrated ceiling system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.1)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.
P-5 Structural Separations. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Partitions that cross structural separations have seismic or control joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.3)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.
P-6 Tops. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The tops of ceiling-high framed or panelized partitions have lateral bracing to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft (1.8 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.4)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.

# Ceilings

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
C-1 Suspended Lath and Plaster. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Suspended lath and plaster ceilings have attachments that resist seismic forces for every 12 ft2 (1.1 m2) of area. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.3)				X	It is unknown if the building has a lath and plaster ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
C-2 Suspended Gypsum Board. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Suspended gypsum board ceilings have attachments that resist seismic forces for every 12 ft2 (1.1 m2) of area. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.3)				X	It is unknown if the building has a gypsum board ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

C-3 Integrated Ceilings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) and ceilings of smaller areas that are not surrounded by restraining partitions are laterally restrained at a spacing no greater than 12 ft (3.6 m) with members attached to the structure above. Each restraint location has a minimum of four diagonal wires and compression struts, or diagonal members capable of resisting compression. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.2)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-4 Edge Clearance. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The free edges of integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) have clearances from the enclosing wall or partition of at least the following: in Moderate Seismicity, 1/2 in. (13 mm); in High Seismicity, 3/4 in. (19 mm). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.4)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-5 Continuity Across Structure Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The ceiling system does not cross any seismic joint and is not attached to multiple independent structures. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.5)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-6 Edge Support. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The free edges of integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) are supported by closure angles or channels not less than 2 in. (51 mm) wide. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.6)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-7 Seismic Joints. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Acoustical tile or lay-in panel ceilings have seismic separation joints such that each continuous portion of the ceiling is no more than 2,500 ft2 (232.3 m2) and has a ratio of long-to-short dimension no more than 4-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.7)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

### **Light Fixtures**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
LF-1 Independent Support. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Light fixtures that weigh more per square foot than the ceiling they penetrate are supported independent of the grid ceiling suspension system by a minimum of two wires at diagonally opposite corners of each fixture. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4, 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.2)		X			It is unclear how much the light fixtures weigh. However, it appears that the light fixtures are not suspended independently from the ceiling. Further investigation should be completed. Adding wires for suspending the light fixtures may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

LF-2 Pendant Supports. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Light fixtures on pendant supports are attached at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft. Unbraced suspended fixtures are free to allow a 360-degree range of motion at an angle not less than 45 degrees from horizontal without contacting adjacent components. Alternatively, if rigidly supported and/or braced, they are free to move with the structure to which they are attached without damaging adjoining components. Additionally, the connection to the structure is capable of accommodating the movement without failure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.3)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
LF-3 Lens Covers. HR-	Lens covers on light fixtures are attached with			
not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	safety devices. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.4)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

### **Cladding and Glazing**

	<del> </del>		1			1
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
CG-1 Cladding Anchors. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Cladding components weighing more than 10 lb/ft2 (0.48 kN/m2) are mechanically anchored to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 6 ft (1.8 m); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 4 ft (1.2 m) (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.1)			X		The building does not appear to have any cladding components.
CG-2 Cladding Isolation. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	For steel or concrete moment-frame buildings, panel connections are detailed to accommodate a story drift ratio by the use of rods attached to framing with oversize holes or slotted holes of at least the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 0.01; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 0.02, and the rods have a length-to-diameter ratio of 4.0 or less. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.3)			X		The building is not a steel or concrete moment frame building.
CG-3 Multi-Story Panels. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For multi-story panels attached at more than one floor level, panel connections are detailed to accommodate a story drift ratio by the use of rods attached to framing with oversize holes or slotted holes of at least the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 0.01; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 0.02, and the rods have a length-to-diameter ratio of 4.0 or less. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.4)			X		The building does not have any multi-story panels.

CG-4 Threaded Rods. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Threaded rods for panel connections detailed to accommodate drift by bending of the rod have a length-to-diameter ratio greater than 0.06 times the story height in inches for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity and 0.12 times the story height in inches for Life Safety in High Seismicity and Position Retention in any		X		The building does not have any cladding components.
	seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.9)				
CG-5 Panel Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Cladding panels are anchored out of plane with a minimum number of connections for each wall panel, as follows: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 2 connections; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 4 connections. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.5)		X		The building does not have any cladding components.
CG-6 Bearing Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Where bearing connections are used, there is a minimum of two bearing connections for each cladding panel. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.6)		X		The building does not appear to have any cladding components.
CG-7 Inserts. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Where concrete cladding components use inserts, the inserts have positive anchorage or are anchored to reinforcing steel. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.7)		X		The building does not have any cladding components.
CG-8 Overhead Glazing. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Glazing panes of any size in curtain walls and individual interior or exterior panes more than 16 ft2 (1.5 m2) in area are laminated annealed or laminated heat-strengthened glass and are detailed to remain in the frame when cracked. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.8)			X	Glazing information is unknown. Based on the age of the building, it is likely that the glazing on the windows are laminated or detailed to remain in the frame. Many individual panes are likely to be below this threshold. Further investigation should be completed. Replacing applicable glazing planes may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### **Masonry Veneer**

iviasum y v eneer						
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
M-1 Ties. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Masonry veneer is connected to the backup with corrosion-resistant ties. There is a minimum of one tie for every 2-2/3 ft2 (0.25 m2), and the ties have spacing no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 36 in. (914 mm); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 24 in. (610 mm). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.1)			X		It does not appear that there is a masonry veneer.
M-2 Shelf Angles. HR- not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is supported by shelf angles or other elements at each floor above the ground floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.2)			X		It does not appear that there is a masonry veneer.
M-3 Weakened Planes. HR-not required; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is anchored to the backup adjacent to weakened planes, such as at the locations of flashing. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.3)			X		It does not appear that there is a masonry veneer.
M-4 Unreinforced Masonry Backup. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	There is no unreinforced masonry backup. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.7.2)			X		It does not appear that there is a masonry veneer.
M-5 Stud Tracks. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For veneer with coldformed steel stud backup, stud tracks are fastened to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than 24 in. (610 mm) on center. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.6.)			X		It does not appear that there is a masonry veneer.
M-6 Anchorage. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	For veneer with concrete block or masonry backup, the backup is positively anchored to the structure at a horizontal spacing equal to or less than 4 ft along the floors and roof. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.7.1)			X		It does not appear that there is a masonry veneer.
M-7 Weep Holes. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	In veneer anchored to stud walls, the veneer has functioning weep holes and base flashing. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
M-8 Openings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	For veneer with cold-formed-steel stud backup, steel studs frame window and door openings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.6.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

# Parapets, Cornices, Ornamentation, and Appendages

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
PCOA-1 URM Parapets or Cornices. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Laterally unsupported unreinforced masonry parapets or cornices have height-tothickness ratios no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 2.5; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 1.5. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.1)				X	The unreinforced parapet details are unknown. Further investigation should be performed. Reinforcement for the parapets may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
PCOA-2 Canopies. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Canopies at building exits are anchored to the structure at a spacing no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 10 ft (3.0 m); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 6 ft (1.8 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.2)			X		It does not appear that there are any canopies around the perimeter of the structure.
PCOA-3 Concrete Parapets. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Concrete parapets with height-to-thickness ratios greater than 2.5 have vertical reinforcement. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.3)			X		There are no concrete parapets.
PCOA-4 Appendages. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- LMH.	Cornices, parapets, signs, and other ornamentation or appendages that extend above the highest point of anchorage to the structure or cantilever from components are reinforced and anchored to the structural system at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft (1.8 m). This evaluation statement item does not apply to parapets or cornices covered by other evaluation statements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.4)	X				The masonry parapets are likely compliant as they would be extensions of the structural wall above the elevation of the roof diaphragm.

#### **Masonry Chimneys**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
MC-1 URM Chimneys. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Unreinforced masonry chimneys extend above the roof surface no more than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 3 times the least dimension of the chimney; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 2 times the least dimension of the chimney. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.9.1)			X		There does not appear to be any masonry chimneys.
MC-2 Anchorage. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Masonry chimneys are anchored at each floor level, at the topmost ceiling level, and at the roof. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.9.2)			X		There does not appear to be any masonry chimneys.

#### **Stairs**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
S-1 Stair Enclosures. HR-not required; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Hollow-clay tile or unreinforced masonry walls around stair enclosures are restrained out of plane and have height-to-thickness ratios not greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 15-to-1; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 12-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2, 13.6.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.10.1)				X	The details of the stair enclosure are unclear. It is likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Additional bracing for the wall around stair enclosures may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
S-2 Stair Details. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	The connection between the stairs and the structure does not rely on post-installed anchors in concrete or masonry, and the stair details are capable of accommodating the drift calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.1 for moment-frame structures or 0.5 in. for all other structures without including any lateral stiffness contribution from the stairs. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.10.2)				X	The details of the stair enclosure are unclear. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring from stairs to the structure may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### **Contents and Furnishings**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
CF-1 Industrial Storage Racks. HR-LMH; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Industrial storage racks or pallet racks more than 12 ft high meet the requirements of ANSI/RMI MH 16.1 as modified by ASCE 7, Chapter 15. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.1)			X		Unable to verify during site investigation. It is unlikely that there are 12 ft high storage racks in the building.
CF-2 Tall Narrow Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Contents more than 6 ft (1.8 m) high with a height-to-depth or height-to-width ratio greater than 3-to-1 are anchored to the structure or to each other. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.2)		X			Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly noncompliant for contents meeting the criteria. Brace tops of shelves taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.
CF-3 Fall-Prone Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment, stored items, or other contents weighing more than 20 lb (9.1 kg) whose center of mass is more than 4 ft (1.2 m) above the adjacent floor level are braced or otherwise restrained. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.3)		X			Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly not compliant for contents meeting the criteria. Heavy items on upper shelves should be restrained by netting or cabling to avoid becoming falling hazards.

CF-4 Access Floors. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Access floors more than 9 in. (229 mm) high are braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.10; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.4)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
CF-5 Equipment on Access Floors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Equipment and other contents supported by access floor systems are anchored or braced to the structure independent of the access floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7 13.6.10; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.5)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
CF-6 Suspended Contents. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Items suspended without lateral bracing are free to swing from or move with the structure from which they are suspended without damaging themselves or adjoining components. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.6)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# **Mechanical and Electrical Equipment**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
ME-1 Fall-Prone Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment weighing more than 20 lb (9.1 kg) whose center of mass is more than 4 ft (1.2 m) above the adjacent floor level, and which is not in-line equipment, is braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.4)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-2 In-Line Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment installed in line with a duct or piping system, with an operating weight more than 75 lb (34.0 kg), is supported and laterally braced independent of the duct or piping system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.5)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-3 Tall Narrow Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Equipment more than 6 ft (1.8 m) high with a height-to-depth or height-to-width ratio greater than 3-to-1 is anchored to the floor slab or adjacent structural walls. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.6)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Brace tops of equipment taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.
ME-4 Mechanical Doors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Mechanically operated doors are detailed to operate at a story drift ratio of 0.01. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.7)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-5 Suspended Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment suspended without lateral bracing is free to swing from or move with the structure from which it is suspended without damaging itself or adjoining components. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1, 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.8)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

	Equipment mounted on vibration isolators is equipped with horizontal restraints or snubbers and with vertical restraints to resist overturning. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.9)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-7 Heavy Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Floor supported or platform-supported equipment weighing more than 400 lb (181.4 kg) is anchored to the structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1, 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.10)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-8 Electrical Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Electrical equipment is laterally braced to the structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.11)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-9 Conduit Couplings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Conduit greater than 2.5 in. (64 mm) trade size that is attached to panels, cabinets, or other equipment and is subject to relative seismic displacement has flexible couplings or connections. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.12)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# Piping

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
	Fluid and gas piping has flexible couplings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-2 Fluid and Gas Piping. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Fluid and gas piping is anchored and braced to the structure to limit spills or leaks. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-3 C-Clamps. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	One-sided C-clamps that support piping larger than 2.5 in. (64 mm) in diameter are restrained. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.5)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-4 Piping Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Piping that crosses seismic joints or isolation planes or is connected to independent structures has couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

#### **Ducts**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
D-1 Duct Bracing. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Rectangular ductwork larger than 6 ft2 (0.56 m2) in cross-sectional area and round ducts larger than 28 in. (711 mm) in diameter are braced. The maximum spacing of transverse bracing does not exceed 30 ft (9.2 m). The maximum spacing of longitudinal bracing does not exceed 60 ft (18.3 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

D-2 Duct Support. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Ducts are not supported by piping or electrical conduit. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.3)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
D-3 Ducts Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Ducts that cross seismic joints or isolation planes or are connected to independent structures have couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.4)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

#### Elevators

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
EL-1 Retainer Guards. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Sheaves and drums have cable retainer guards. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.1)				X	The building does not appear to have any elevators. However, it is a 2-story structure and there may be an elevator. The elevator checklist items should be verified by an elevator designer or supplier.
	A retainer plate is present at the top and bottom of both car and counterweight. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.2)				X	The building does not appear to have any elevators. However, it is a 2-story structure and there may be an elevator. The elevator checklist items should be verified by an elevator designer or supplier.
EL-3 Elevator Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment, piping, and other components that are part of the elevator system are anchored. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.3)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-4 Seismic Switch. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Elevators capable of operating at speeds of 150 ft/min or faster are equipped with seismic switches that meet the requirements of ASME A17.1 or have trigger levels set to 20% of the acceleration of gravity at the base of the structure and 50% of the acceleration of gravity in other locations. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-5 Shaft Walls. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Elevator shaft walls are anchored and reinforced to prevent toppling into the shaft during strong shaking. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.5)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-6 Counterweight Rails. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	All counterweight rails and divider beams are sized in accordance with ASME A17.1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

EL-7 Brackets. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The brackets that tie the car rails and the counterweight rail to the structure are sized in accordance with ASME A17.1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.7)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
*	Spreader brackets are not used to resist seismic forces. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.8)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
	The building has a go-slow elevator system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.9)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# 1. Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Stuart Building

### 1.1 Building Description

Building Name: Stuart Building

Facility Name: Port Townsend High

School

District Name: Port Townsend

ICOS Latitude: 48.118
ICOS Longitude: -122.768

**ICOS** 

County/District ID:

ICOS Building ID: 14775
ASCE 41 Bldg Type: W2
Enrollment: 366

Gross Sq. Ft. : 17,912 Year Built: 1952

Number of Stories: 1

S<sub>XS BSE-2E</sub>: 1.066

S<sub>X1 BSE-2E</sub>: 0.630

ASCE 41 Level of

Seismicity: High

Site Class: D  $V_{S30}(m/s)$ : 355

Liquefaction

very low

Potential:

Tsunami Risk: Extremely Low

Structural Drawings Available: No

Evaluating Firm: Reid Middleton, Inc.





Port Townsend High School, in Jefferson County, is a public school that serves approximately 375 students in grades 9-12. It is one of the oldest high schools in Washington State, graduating its first class in 1891. The Gael Stuart building is a one-story wood framed building with a brick veneer and a partial concrete basement. The building was originally constructed circa 1952 and renovated in 1984. The building has a rectangular floor plan and is situated on a gradual sloping site.

#### 1.1.1 Building Use

The building consists of classrooms and administrative space including the Port Townsend School District Office.

#### 1.1.2 Structural System

Table 1.1-1. Structural System Description of Port Townsend High School

Structural System	Description
C41 D f	The roof system consists of wood framing supported by interior and exterior
Structural Roof	wood bearing walls.
Structural Floor(s)	The floor system consists of wood framing.
Foundations	Foundations are not visible but appear to be shallow cast-in-place concrete
roundations	footings and stem walls.
Gravity System	The gravity system consists of wood floor and roof framing supported by interior
Gravity System	and exterior wood framed bearing walls and concrete foundations.
Lateral System	The lateral force resisting system consists of wood framed shear walls and a
	wood frame diaphragm at the roof.

### 1.1.3 Structural System Visual Condition

Table 1.1-2. Structural System Condition Description of Port Townsend High School

Structural System	Description
Structural Roof	Roof appears to be in satisfactory condition.
Structural Floor(s)	Floor appears to be in satisfactory condition.
Foundations	Foundations are not visible but appear to be in satisfactory condition with no apparent issues.
Gravity System	The gravity system appears to be in satisfactory condition.
Lateral System	The lateral force resisting system appears to be in satisfactory condition.

# 1.2 Seismic Evaluation Findings

#### 1.2.1 Structural Seismic Deficiencies

The structural seismic deficiencies identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each deficiency is also provided based on this evaluation.

Table 1-3. Identified Structural Seismic Deficiencies for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Stuart Building

Deficiency	Description
Shear Stress	It does not appear that the walls meet the quick check requirement. Further investigation should be performed.
Check	Lateral system strengthening or addition of new shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Ononings	It appears that there are large openings. Further investigation should be completed. Lateral system
Openings	strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### 1.2.2 Structural Checklist Items Marked as 'U'nknown

Where building structural component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information or limited observation, the structural checklist items were marked as "unknown". These items require further investigation if definitive determination of compliance or noncompliance is desired. The unknown structural checklist items identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each unknown item is also provided based on the evaluation.

Table 1-4. Identified Structural Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Stuart Building

a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.  Slope Failure Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope. Surface Fault Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near loc expected surface fault ruptures.  Narrow Wood Likely noncompliant based on visual observations. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Walls Connected Through Floors Promed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sills Wood sills not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection between of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column so may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional connection hardware between girders and column so may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available seismic risk.  Straight Shouthing Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	Unknown Item	Description	
a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.  Slope Failure Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope: Surface Fault Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near loc expected surface fault ruptures.  Narrow Wood Likely noncompliant based on visual observations. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Walls Connected Through Floors Promed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sills Wood sills not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection between drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to det the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column sumay be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available seismic risk.  Straight Shoothing Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic ri		The liquefaction potential of site soils is unknown at this time given available information. Very low	
Slope Failure Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope is Surface Fault Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near loc expected surface fault ruptures.  Narrow Wood Likely noncompliant based on visual observations. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Walls Connected Through Floors Performed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Posts Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sills Wood sills not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional connection may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connections not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to det the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column stray be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional continuity performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation is performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Straight Shouthing Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm.	Liquefaction	liquefaction potential is identified per ICOS based on state geologic mapping. Requires further investigation by	
Surface Fault Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near loc expected surface fault ruptures.  Narrow Wood Shear Walls Wood Posts Wood Sills Girder-Column Connection Connection Connection Connection Connection Connection Connection Wood Sill Bolts Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near loc expected surface fault ruptures.  Requires further investigation should be performed. Lateral strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Posts Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood sills not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connections not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to det the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column streamy be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available standard of care at time of original construction. N		a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.	
Rupture expected surface fault ruptures.  Narrow Wood Sills Bolts  Wood Sill Bolts  Wood Sill Bolts  Rupture expected surface fault ruptures.  Norecord drawings available. Likely noncompliant, no connections observed. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sill Bolts  Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sills  Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sills  Connections not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to det the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column sumay be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Straight Shoething  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm.	Slope Failure	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope failure.	
Narrow Wood Shear Walls Streight Shearbing Shear Walls Shear Walls Shear Walls Streight Shearbing Shear Walls Shear Walls Streight Shearbing Shear Walls Shear Wal	Surface Fault	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near locations of	
Shear Walls strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Walls Connected Through Floors Performed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Posts Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sills Wood sills not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connections not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to det the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column streamy be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional continuity performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Straight Shouthing  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm.	Rupture	expected surface fault ruptures.	
Walls Connected Through Floors Wood Posts Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sills Girder-Column Connection	Narrow Wood	Likely noncompliant based on visual observations. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system	
Through Floors performed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sills  Girder-Column Connection  Conne	Shear Walls	strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	
Wood Posts  Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sills  Wood Sills not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Diaphragm Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Straight Shoothing.  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm.	Walls Connected	No record drawings available. Likely noncompliant, no connections observed. Further investigation should be	
wood Sills  Wood Sills  Wood Sills not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connections not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to det the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column st may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Diaphragm  Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Straight Shoothing  Straight Shoothing  Additional anchoring may be appropriate to det drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Straight Shoothing  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm	Through Floors	performed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	
Wood Sills Wood Sills not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Girder-Column Connection Connection Connection  Wood Sill Bolts  Connections not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to det the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column st may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Straight Shoothing  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm.	Wood Posts	Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further	
anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connection Connection Connection  Wood Sill Bolts  Connection Sill Bolts  Connection Continuity Co	W OOU POSIS	investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	
anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Connections not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to det the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column so may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sill Bolts  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Diaphragm  Reinforcement at Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Straight Shoothing  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm.	W10:11-	Wood sills not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional	
the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column so may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sill Bolts  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further invetigation should be performed. Addition bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord  Continuity  Diaphragm  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation sloper and performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Stroight Shoothing  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm.	wood Sills	anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	
the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column so may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Wood Sill Bolts  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further invetigation should be performed. Additional bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord  Continuity  Diaphragm  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Stroight Shoothing  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm.	Girdor Column	Connections not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to determine	
wood Sill Bolts  Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further invetigation should be performed. Additionable bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord  Continuity  Diaphragm  Reinforcement at Openings  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm  Assuming straight sheathing.	0.1.0.01	the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column supports	
Wood Sill Bolts  bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord  Continuity  Diaphragm  Reinforcement at  Openings  Continuity  Continuity  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Stroight Shoothing  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm.	Connection	may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	
bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Roof Chord  Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation sleeperformed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Diaphragm  Reinforcement at Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Stroight Shoothing  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	Wood Sill Dolta	Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further invetigation should be performed. Additional sill	
Continuity performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.  Diaphragm Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings average Reinforcement at Openings Purther investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate services of the continuous performed.	Wood Sill Bolts	bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	
Diaphragm  Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings av  Reinforcement at  Openings  Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate so risk.  Straight Shoothing  Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphra	Roof Chord	Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be	
Reinforcement at Openings risk.  Stroight Shoothing Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphra	Continuity	performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	
Openings risk.  Straight Shoothing Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphra	Diaphragm	Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available	
Straight Shoothing Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphra	Reinforcement at	Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic	
Stronght Choothing 1	Openings	risk.	
Straight Sheathing has inforcement may be appropriate to mitigate acionic mist.	Straight Sheathing	Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm	
remiorcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	Straight Sheathing	reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.	

#### 1.3.1 Nonstructural Seismic Deficiencies

The nonstructural seismic deficiencies identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each deficiency is also provided based on this evaluation. Some nonstructural deficiencies may be able to be mitigated by school district staff. Other nonstructural components that require more substantial mitigation may be more appropriately included in a long-term mitigation strategy. Some typical conceptual details for the seismic upgrade of nonstructural components can be found in the FEMA E-74 Excerpts appendix.

Table 1-5. Identified Nonstructural Seismic Deficiencies for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Stuart Building

Deficiency	Description
Pining HR-not required: LS-	No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that seismic bracing for fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Bracing for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-2 Flexible Counlings	No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed the flexible couplings on the fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Flexible coupling for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HR-not required; LS-H; PR-	Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly noncompliant for contents meeting the criteria. Brace tops of shelves taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.
CF-3 Fall-Prone Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly not compliant for contents meeting the criteria. Heavy items on upper shelves should be restrained by netting or cabling to avoid becoming falling hazards.

#### 1.3.2 Nonstructural Checklist Items Marked as 'U'nknown

Where building nonstructural component seismic adequacy was unknown due to lack of available information or limited observation, the nonstructural checklist items were marked as "unknown". These items require further investigation if definitive determination of compliance or noncompliance is desired. The unknown nonstructural checklist items identified during the Tier 1 evaluation are summarized below. Commentary for each unknown item is also provided based on the evaluation.

Some nonstructural deficiencies may be able to be mitigated by school district staff. Other nonstructural components that require more substantial mitigation may be more appropriately included in a long-term mitigation strategy. Some typical conceptual details for the seismic upgrade of nonstructural components can be found in the FEMA E-74 Excerpts appendix.

Table 1-6. Identified Nonstructural Checklist Items Marked as Unknown for Port Townsend Port Townsend High School Stuart Building

Unknown Item	Pescription
LSS-3 Emergency Power. HR- not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	
LSS-5 Sprinkler Ceiling Clearance. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	No available record drawing information on sprinkle head clearance and unable to verify during site investigation. Evaluation of penetrations may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-1 Hazardous Material Equipment. HR-LMH; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	It is unknown if equipment is mounted on vibration isolators. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-2 Hazardous Material Storage. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk. Restraining breakable containers that hold hazardous material by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-3 Hazardous Material Distribution. HR-MH; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Bracing and anchoring of piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-4 Shutoff Valves. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	It is unknown if the structure contains natural gas or other hazardous materials. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Providing shutoff valves may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-5 Flexible Couplings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Flexible coupling for piping and ductwork may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
P-3 Drift. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	It is unknown if there are cementitious partitions in the building. However, it is unlikely. Further investigation should be performed. Detailing to allow cementitious partitions to drift an adequate amount during a seismic event may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
C-1 Suspended Lath and Plaster. HR-H; LS-MH; PR- LMH.	It is unknown if the building has a lath and plaster ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
C-2 Suspended Gypsum Board. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-LMH.	It is unknown if the building has a gypsum board ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LF-1 Independent Support. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	It is unknown how much the light fixtures weigh. Based on the age of the building, it is unlikely that they are independently supported by the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding wires for suspending the light fixtures may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
CG-8 Overhead Glazing. HR- not required; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Glazing information is unknown. Based on the age of the building, it is likely that the glazing on the windows are laminated or detailed to remain in the frame. Many individual panes are likely to be below this threshold. Further investigation should be completed. Replacing applicable glazing planes may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M-1 Ties. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

Unknown Item	Description
M-2 Shelf Angles. HR-not	It is unknown how the veneer is connected to the building. Further investigation should be
required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M-3 Weakened Planes. HR- not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
· ·	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-1 Fall-Prone Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-2 In-Line Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-3 Tall Narrow Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Brace tops of equipment taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.



Figure 1-1. Stuart Building Entrance



Figure 1-2. Stuart Building, East Elevation



Figure 1-3. Stuart Building, South Entrance



Figure 1-4. Stuart Building, North Elevation



Figure 1-5. Stuart Building, Southwest Corner



Figure 1-6. Stuart Building, West Elevation



Figure 1-7. Stuart Building, North Elevation




Figure 1-8. Stuart Building, Main Lobby




Figure 1-9. Stuart Building, Corridor

# Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Stuart Building 17-2 Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist

Building record drawings have been reviewed, when available, and a non-destructive field investigation has been performed for the subject building. Each of the required checklist items are marked Compliant (C), Noncompliant (NC), Not Applicable (N/A), or Unknown (U). Items marked Compliant indicate conditions that satisfy the performance objective, whereas items marked Noncompliant or Unknown indicate conditions that do not. Certain statements might not apply to the building being evaluated.

# **Low Seismicity**

#### **Building System - General**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Load Path	The structure contains a complete, well-defined load path, including structural elements and connections, that serves to transfer the inertial forces associated with the mass of all elements of the building to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.10)	X				Based on visual observations only, no record drawings available.
Adjacent Buildings	The clear distance between the building being evaluated and any adjacent building is greater than 0.25% of the height of the shorter building in low seismicity, 0.5% in moderate seismicity, and 1.5% in high seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.2)	X				It does not appear that there are any immediately adjacent structures.
Mezzanines	Interior mezzanine levels are braced independently from the main structure or are anchored to the seismic-force-resisting elements of the main structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.3; Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.3)			X		There does not appear to be an interior mezzanine.

#### **Building System - Building Configuration**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Weak Story	The sum of the shear strengths of the seismic- force-resisting system in any story in each direction is not less than 80% of the strength in the adjacent story above. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.1; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.2)	X				There does not appear to be a weak story irregularity.
Soft Story	The stiffness of the seismic-force-resisting system in any story is not less than 70% of the seismic-force-resisting system stiffness in an adjacent story above or less than 80% of the average seismic-force-resisting system stiffness of the three stories above. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.2; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.3)	X				There does not appear to be a soft story irregularity.
Vertical Irregularities	All vertical elements in the seismic-forceresisting system are continuous to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.3; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.4)	X				Assumed compliant relative to standard construction practice at time of original construction.

Geometry	There are no changes in the net horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system of more than 30% in a story relative to adjacent stories, excluding one-story penthouses and mezzanines. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.4; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.5)	X		There does not appear to be any changes to the horizontal dimension of the seismic force-resisting system.
Mass	There is no change in effective mass of more than 50% from one story to the next. Light roofs, penthouses, and mezzanines need not be considered. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.5; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.6)	X		There does not appear to be a mass irregularity.
Torsion	The estimated distance between the story center of mass and the story center of rigidity is less than 20% of the building width in either plan dimension. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.6; Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.7)	X		There does not appear to be a torsional irregularity.

# ${\color{blue} Moderate\ Seismicity\ (Complete\ the\ Following\ Items\ in\ Addition\ to\ the\ Items\ for\ Low\ Seismicity)}$

# **Geologic Site Hazards**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Liquefaction	Liquefaction-susceptible, saturated, loose granular soils that could jeopardize the building's seismic performance do not exist in the foundation soils at depths within 50 ft (15.2 m) under the building. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.1)				X	The liquefaction potential of site soils is unknown at this time given available information. Very low liquefaction potential is identified per ICOS based on state geologic mapping. Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine liquefaction potential.
Slope Failure	The building site is located away from potential earthquake-induced slope failures or rockfalls so that it is unaffected by such failures or is capable of accommodating any predicted movements without failure. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.2)				X	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine susceptibility to slope failure.
Surface Fault Rupture	Surface fault rupture and surface displacement at the building site are not anticipated. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.1; Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3)				X	Requires further investigation by a licensed geotechnical engineer to determine whether site is near locations of expected surface fault ruptures.

# High Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low and Moderate Seismicity)

# **Foundation Configuration**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Overturning	The ratio of the least horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system at the foundation level to the building height (base/height) is greater than 0.6Sa. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.3; Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.1)	X				Building does not appear to have elements of the seismic force-resisting system that would be a concern for excessive overturning.
Ties Between Foundation Elements	The foundation has ties adequate to resist seismic forces where footings, piles, and piers are not restrained by beams, slabs, or soils classified as Site Class A, B, or C. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.4; Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.2)	X				Assumed compliant relative to standard construction practice at time of original construction.

# 17-6 Collapse Prevention Structural Checklist for Building Type W2

Building record drawings have been reviewed, when available, and a non-destructive field investigation has been performed for the subject building. Each of the required checklist items are marked Compliant (C), Noncompliant (NC), Not Applicable (N/A), or Unknown (U). Items marked Compliant indicate conditions that satisfy the performance objective, whereas items marked Noncompliant or Unknown indicate conditions that do not. Certain statements might not apply to the building being evaluated.

# Low and Moderate Seismicity

#### **Seismic-Force-Resisting System**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Redundancy	The number of lines of shear walls in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.1.1)	X				Assumed compliant based on field observations and symmetry in building.
Shear Stress Check	The shear stress in the shear walls, calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.3, is less than the following values: Structural panel sheathing – 1,000 lb/ft; Diagonal sheathing – 700 lb/ft; Straight sheathing – 100 lb/ft; All other conditions – 100 lb/ft. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.1)		X			It does not appear that the walls meet the quick check requirement. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening or addition of new shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Stucco (Exterior Plaster) Shear Walls	Multi-story buildings do not rely on exterior stucco walls as the primary seismic-force-resisting system. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.2)			X		No exterior stucco walls.
Gypsum Wallboard or Plaster Shear Walls	Interior plaster or gypsum wallboard is not used for shear walls on buildings more than one story high with the exception of the uppermost level of a multi-story building. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.3)			X		Building is one story.
Narrow Wood Shear Walls	Narrow wood shear walls with an aspect ratio greater than 2-to-1 are not used to resist seismic forces. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.4)				X	Likely noncompliant based on visual observations. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening or additional shear walls may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Walls Connected Through Floors	Shear walls have an interconnection between stories to transfer overturning and shear forces through the floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.5)				X	No record drawings available. Likely noncompliant, no connections observed. Further investigation should be performed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

Hillside Site	For structures that are taller on at least one side by more than one-half story because of a sloping site, all shear walls on the downhill slope have an aspect ratio less than 1-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.3; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.6)		X	The site appears to be generally flat.
Cripple Walls	Cripple walls below first-floor-level shear walls are braced to the foundation with wood structural panels. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.7)		X	It does not appear that there are cripple walls.
Openings	Walls with openings greater than 80% of the length are braced with wood structural panel shear walls with aspect ratios of not more than 1.5-to-1 or are supported by adjacent construction through positive ties capable of transferring the seismic forces. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.8)	X		It appears that there are large openings. Further investigation should be completed. Lateral system strengthening may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

#### Connections

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION CTATEMENT	C	NC	NT/A	TT	COMMENT
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Wood Posts	There is a positive connection of wood posts to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.3; Commentary: Sec. A.5.3.3)				X	Connection between wood posts and foundation not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Wood Sills	All wood sills are bolted to the foundation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.3; Commentary: Sec. A.5.3.4)				X	Wood sills not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Additional anchoring may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Girder-Column Connection	There is a positive connection using plates, connection hardware, or straps between the girder and the column support. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.1; Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.1)				X	Connections not visible. No record drawings available. Further investigation may be appropriate to determine the configuration of the wood framing. Additional connection hardware between girders and column supports may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# High Seismicity (Complete the Following Items in Addition to the Items for Low & Moderate Seismicity)

#### **Connections**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Wood Sill Bolts	Sill bolts are spaced at 6 ft (1.8 m) or less with acceptable edge and end distance provided for wood and concrete. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.3; Commentary: Sec. A.5.3.7)				X	Sill bolts not visible. No record drawings available. Further invetigation should be performed. Additional sill bolts may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# Diaphragms

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
Diaphragm Continuity	The diaphragms are not composed of split-level floors and do not have expansion joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.1)	X				Compliant based on field observation.
Roof Chord Continuity	All chord elements are continuous, regardless of changes in roof elevation. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.3)				X	Likely noncompliant based on field observation. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Diaphragm Reinforcement at Openings	There is reinforcing around all diaphragm openings larger than 50% of the building width in either major plan dimension. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.5; Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.8)				X	Likely noncompliant based on standard of care at time of original construction. No record drawings available. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Straight Sheathing	All straight-sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios less than 2-to-1 in the direction being considered. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.1)				X	Assuming straight sheathing, likely noncompliant. Further investigation should be performed. Diaphragm reinforcement may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
Spans	All wood diaphragms with spans greater than 24 ft (7.3 m) consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.2)			X		Straight sheathing assumed for original construction.
Diagonally Sheathed and Unblocked Diaphragms	All diagonally sheathed or unblocked wood structural panel diaphragms have horizontal spans less than 40 ft (12.2 m) and have aspect ratios less than or equal to 4-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.3)			X		Straight sheathing assumed for original construction.

Other Diaphragms  The diaphragms do not consist of a system other than wood, metal deck, concrete, or horizontal bracing. (Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.4.7.1)	X				Assumed compliant based on visual observation.
--	---	--	--	--	--

# Port Townsend, Port Townsend High School, Stuart Building 17-38 Nonstructural Checklist

Notes:

C = Compliant, NC = Noncompliant, N/A = Not Applicable, and U = Unknown.

Performance Level: HR = Hazards Reduced, LS = Life Safety, and PR = Position Retention.

Level of Seismicity: L = Low, M = Moderate, and H = High

#### **Life Safety Systems**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
LSS-1 Fire Suppression Piping. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Fire suppression piping is anchored and braced in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.1)		X			No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed that seismic bracing for fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Bracing for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-2 Flexible Couplings. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Fire suppression piping has flexible couplings in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.2)		X			No available record drawing information on fire suppression piping and unable to verify during site investigation. Based on age of the building, it is assumed the flexible couplings on the fire suppression piping do not comply with NFPA 13. Flexible coupling for fire suppression piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-3 Emergency Power. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Equipment used to power or control Life Safety systems is anchored or braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.1)				X	Use of emergency power was not verified with maintenance or facility staff. Evaluation of emergency power equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-4 Stair and Smoke Ducts. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Stair pressurization and smoke control ducts are braced and have flexible connections at seismic joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.1)			X		Building is a one-story structure.

LSS-5 Sprinkler Ceiling Clearance. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Penetrations through panelized ceilings for fire suppression devices provide clearances in accordance with NFPA-13. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.3)			X	No available record drawing information on sprinkle head clearance and unable to verify during site investigation. Evaluation of penetrations may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
LSS-6 Emergency Lighting. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-LMH	Emergency and egress lighting equipment is anchored or braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.1)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.

#### **Hazardous Materials**

						1
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
HM-1 Hazardous Material Equipment. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Equipment mounted on vibration isolators and containing hazardous material is equipped with restraints or snubbers. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.2)				X	It is unknown if equipment is mounted on vibration isolators. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-2 Hazardous Material Storage. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Breakable containers that hold hazardous material, including gas cylinders, are restrained by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.3; Commentary: Sec. A.7.15.1)				X	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. Further investigation may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk. Restraining breakable containers that hold hazardous material by latched doors, shelf lips, wires, or other methods may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-3 Hazardous Material Distribution. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Piping or ductwork conveying hazardous materials is braced or otherwise protected from damage that would allow hazardous material release. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.4)				X	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Bracing and anchoring of piping may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

HM-4 Shutoff Valves. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Piping containing hazardous material, including natural gas, has shutoff valves or other devices to limit spills or leaks. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.3)			X	It is unknown if the structure contains natural gas or other hazardous materials. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Providing shutoff valves may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-5 Flexible Couplings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Hazardous material ductwork and piping, including natural gas piping, have flexible couplings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.15.4)			X	Unknown whether the building has hazardous materials. There may be gas lines present. Further investigation of mechanical piping should be performed. Flexible coupling for piping and ductwork may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
HM-6 Piping or Ducts Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Piping or ductwork carrying hazardous material that either crosses seismic joints or isolation planes or is connected to independent structures has couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5, 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.6)		X		The building does not appear to contain seismic joints, isolation planes, or independent structures.

#### **Partitions**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
P-1 Unreinforced Masonry. HR-LMH; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Unreinforced masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions are braced at a spacing of at most 10 ft (3.0 m) in Low or Moderate Seismicity, or at most 6 ft (1.8 m) in High Seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.1)			X		Partitions do not appear to consist of unreinforced masonry or hollow-clay tile.
P-2 Heavy Partitions Supported by Ceilings. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	The tops of masonry or hollow-clay tile partitions are not laterally supported by an integrated ceiling system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.1)			X		Partitions do not appear to consist of masonry or hollow-clay tile.

P-3 Drift. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Rigid cementitious partitions are detailed to accommodate the following drift ratios: in steel moment frame, concrete moment frame, and wood frame buildings, 0.02; in other buildings, 0.005. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.2)			X	It is unknown if there are cementitious partitions in the building. However, it is unlikely. Further investigation should be performed. Detailing to allow cementitious partitions to drift an adequate amount during a seismic event may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
P-4 Light Partitions Supported by Ceilings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The tops of gypsum board partitions are not laterally supported by an integrated ceiling system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.1)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.
P-5 Structural Separations. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Partitions that cross structural separations have seismic or control joints. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.3)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.
P-6 Tops. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The tops of ceiling-high framed or panelized partitions have lateral bracing to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft (1.8 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.1.4)		X		Not required for life safety performance level.

# Ceilings

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
C-1 Suspended Lath and Plaster. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Suspended lath and plaster ceilings have attachments that resist seismic forces for every 12 ft2 (1.1 m2) of area. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.3)				X	It is unknown if the building has a lath and plaster ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
C-2 Suspended Gypsum Board. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Suspended gypsum board ceilings have attachments that resist seismic forces for every 12 ft2 (1.1 m2) of area. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.3)				X	It is unknown if the building has a gypsum board ceiling. It is unlikely that the ceiling is braced for seismic forces. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing for ceilings may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

C-3 Integrated Ceilings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) and ceilings of smaller areas that are not surrounded by restraining partitions are laterally restrained at a spacing no greater than 12 ft (3.6 m) with members attached to the structure above. Each restraint location has a minimum of four diagonal wires and compression struts, or diagonal members capable of resisting compression. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.2)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-4 Edge Clearance. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The free edges of integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-5 Continuity Across Structure Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	The ceiling system does not cross any seismic joint and is not attached to multiple independent structures. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.5)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-6 Edge Support. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The free edges of integrated suspended ceilings with continuous areas greater than 144 ft2 (13.4 m2) are supported by closure angles or channels not less than 2 in. (51 mm) wide. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.6)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
C-7 Seismic Joints. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Acoustical tile or lay-in panel ceilings have seismic separation joints such that each continuous portion of the ceiling is no more than 2,500 ft2 (232.3 m2) and has a ratio of long-to-short dimension no more than 4-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.2.7)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# **Light Fixtures**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
LF-1 Independent Support. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Light fixtures that weigh more per square foot than the ceiling they penetrate are supported independent of the grid ceiling suspension system by a minimum of two wires at diagonally opposite corners of each fixture. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.4, 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.2)				X	It is unknown how much the light fixtures weigh. Based on the age of the building, it is unlikely that they are independently supported by the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding wires for suspending the light fixtures may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

LF-2 Pendant Supports. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Light fixtures on pendant supports are attached at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft. Unbraced suspended fixtures are free to allow a 360-degree range of motion at an angle not less than 45 degrees from horizontal without contacting adjacent components. Alternatively, if rigidly supported and/or braced, they are free to move with the structure to which they are attached without damaging adjoining components. Additionally, the connection to the structure is capable of accommodating the movement without failure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.3)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
LF-3 Lens Covers. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Lens covers on light fixtures are attached with safety devices. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.3.4)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# **Cladding and Glazing**

Clauding and Glazing						
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
CG-1 Cladding Anchors. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Cladding components weighing more than 10 lb/ft2 (0.48 kN/m2) are mechanically anchored to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 6 ft (1.8 m); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 4 ft (1.2 m) (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.1)			X		The building does not appear to have any cladding components.
CG-2 Cladding Isolation. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	For steel or concrete moment-frame buildings, panel connections are detailed to accommodate a story drift ratio by the use of rods attached to framing with oversize holes or slotted holes of at least the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 0.01; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 0.02, and the rods have a length-to-diameter ratio of 4.0 or less. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.3)			X		The building is not a steel or concrete moment frame building.
CG-3 Multi-Story Panels. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For multi-story panels attached at more than one floor level, panel connections are detailed to accommodate a story drift ratio by the use of rods attached to framing with oversize holes or slotted holes of at least the following: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 0.01; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 0.02, and the rods have a length-to-diameter ratio of 4.0 or less. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.4)			X		The building does not have any multi-story panels.

CG-4 Threaded Rods. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Threaded rods for panel connections detailed to accommodate drift by bending of the rod have a length-to-diameter ratio greater than 0.06 times the story height in inches for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity and 0.12 times the story height in inches for Life Safety in High Seismicity and Position Retention in any seismicity. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.9)		X		There does not appear to be any cladding components.
CG-5 Panel Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- MH.	Cladding panels are anchored out of plane with a minimum number of connections for each wall panel, as follows: for Life Safety in Moderate Seismicity, 2 connections; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 4 connections. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.5)		X		There does not appear to be any cladding components.
CG-6 Bearing Connections. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Where bearing connections are used, there is a minimum of two bearing connections for each cladding panel. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.6)		X		There does not appear to be any cladding components.
CG-7 Inserts. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR-MH.	Where concrete cladding components use inserts, the inserts have positive anchorage or are anchored to reinforcing steel. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.4; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.7)		X		There does not appear to be any cladding components.
CG-8 Overhead Glazing. HR-not required; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Glazing panes of any size in curtain walls and individual interior or exterior panes more than 16 ft2 (1.5 m2) in area are laminated annealed or laminated heat-strengthened glass and are detailed to remain in the frame when cracked. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.4.8)			X	Glazing information is unknown. Based on the age of the building, it is likely that the glazing on the windows are laminated or detailed to remain in the frame. Many individual panes are likely to be below this threshold. Further investigation should be completed. Replacing applicable glazing planes may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.

# **Masonry Veneer**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
M-1 Ties. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Masonry veneer is connected to the backup with corrosion-resistant ties. There is a minimum of one tie for every 2-2/3 ft2 (0.25 m2), and the ties have spacing no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 36 in. (914 mm); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 24 in. (610 mm). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.1)				X	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M-2 Shelf Angles. HR- not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is supported by shelf angles or other elements at each floor above the ground floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.2)				X	It is unknown how the veneer is connected to the building. Further investigation should be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M-3 Weakened Planes. HR-not required; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Masonry veneer is anchored to the backup adjacent to weakened planes, such as at the locations of flashing. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.3)				X	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M-4 Unreinforced Masonry Backup. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	There is no unreinforced masonry backup. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.7.2)	X				There does not appear to be a unreinforced masonry backup.
M-5 Stud Tracks. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For veneer with coldformed steel stud backup, stud tracks are fastened to the structure at a spacing equal to or less than 24 in. (610 mm) on center. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.6.)			X		It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. However, the use of coldformed steel studs as a backup is unlikely.
M-6 Anchorage. HR-not required; LS-MH; PR- MH.	For veneer with concrete block or masonry backup, the backup is positively anchored to the structure at a horizontal spacing equal to or less than 4 ft along the floors and roof. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.7.1)				X	It is unknown how the masonry veneer is connected to the structure. Further investigation should be completed. Adding connections for the veneer may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
M-7 Weep Holes. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	In veneer anchored to stud walls, the veneer has functioning weep holes and base flashing. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.5.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

M-8 Openings. HR-not	For veneer with cold-formed-steel stud backup,			
1 0	steel studs frame window and door openings.		v	Not required for life safety
required; LS-not	(Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.1.1, 13.6.1.2; Commentary:		Λ	performance level.
required; PR-MH.	Sec. A.7.6.2)			

# Parapets, Cornices, Ornamentation, and Appendages

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
PCOA-1 URM Parapets or Cornices. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Laterally unsupported unreinforced masonry parapets or cornices have height-tothickness ratios no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 2.5; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 1.5. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.1)			X		There does not appear to be any unreinforced masonry parapets.
PCOA-2 Canopies. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	Canopies at building exits are anchored to the structure at a spacing no greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 10 ft (3.0 m); for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 6 ft (1.8 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.2)			X		There does not appear to be any canopies around the perimeter of the structure.
PCOA-3 Concrete Parapets. HR-H; LS-MH; PR-LMH.	Concrete parapets with height-to-thickness ratios greater than 2.5 have vertical reinforcement. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.3)			X		There are no concrete parapets.
PCOA-4 Appendages. HR-MH; LS-MH; PR- LMH.	Cornices, parapets, signs, and other ornamentation or appendages that extend above the highest point of anchorage to the structure or cantilever from components are reinforced and anchored to the structural system at a spacing equal to or less than 6 ft (1.8 m). This evaluation statement item does not apply to parapets or cornices covered by other evaluation statements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.8.4)	X				The parapets are likely compliant as they would be extensions of the structural wall above the elevation of the roof diaphragm.

# **Masonry Chimneys**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
MC-1 URM Chimneys. HR-LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Unreinforced masonry chimneys extend above the roof surface no more than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 3 times the least dimension of the chimney; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 2 times the least dimension of the chimney. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.9.1)			X		There does not appear to be any masonry chimneys.
MC-2 Anchorage. HR- LMH; LS-LMH; PR- LMH.	Masonry chimneys are anchored at each floor level, at the topmost ceiling level, and at the roof. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.9.2)			X		There does not appear to be any masonry chimneys.

# **Stairs**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
S-1 Stair Enclosures. HR-not required; LS- LMH; PR-LMH.	Hollow-clay tile or unreinforced masonry walls around stair enclosures are restrained out of plane and have height-to-thickness ratios not greater than the following: for Life Safety in Low or Moderate Seismicity, 15-to-1; for Life Safety in High Seismicity and for Position Retention in any seismicity, 12-to-1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.2, 13.6.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.10.1)			X		There does not appear to be any stairs.
S-2 Stair Details. HR-not required; LS-LMH; PR-LMH.	The connection between the stairs and the structure does not rely on post-installed anchors in concrete or masonry, and the stair details are capable of accommodating the drift calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.1 for moment-frame structures or 0.5 in. for all other structures without including any lateral stiffness contribution from the stairs. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.10.2)			X		There does not appear to be any stairs.

# **Contents and Furnishings**

	Outroms and a manage									
EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	C	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT				
CF-1 Industrial Storage Racks. HR-LMH; LS- MH; PR-MH.	Industrial storage racks or pallet racks more than 12 ft high meet the requirements of ANSI/RMI MH 16.1 as modified by ASCE 7, Chapter 15. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.1)			X		Unable to verify during site investigation. It is unlikely that there are 12 ft high storage racks in the building.				
CF-2 Tall Narrow Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Contents more than 6 ft (1.8 m) high with a height-to-depth or height-to-width ratio greater than 3-to-1 are anchored to the structure or to each other. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.2)		X			Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly noncompliant for contents meeting the criteria. Brace tops of shelves taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.				
CF-3 Fall-Prone Contents. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment, stored items, or other contents weighing more than 20 lb (9.1 kg) whose center of mass is more than 4 ft (1.2 m) above the adjacent floor level are braced or otherwise restrained. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.3)		X			Not able to verify during site investigation. This item is commonly not compliant for contents meeting the criteria. Heavy items on upper shelves should be restrained by netting or cabling to avoid becoming falling hazards.				
CF-4 Access Floors. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Access floors more than 9 in. (229 mm) high are braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.10; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.				

CF-5 Equipment on Access Floors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Equipment and other contents supported by access floor systems are anchored or braced to the structure independent of the access floor. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7 13.6.10; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.5)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
CF-6 Suspended Contents. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Items suspended without lateral bracing are free to swing from or move with the structure from which they are suspended without damaging themselves or adjoining components. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.8.2; Commentary: Sec. A.7.11.6)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# **Mechanical and Electrical Equipment**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
ME-1 Fall-Prone Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment weighing more than 20 lb (9.1 kg) whose center of mass is more than 4 ft (1.2 m) above the adjacent floor level, and which is not in-line equipment, is braced. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.4)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-2 In-Line Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Equipment installed in line with a duct or piping system, with an operating weight more than 75 lb (34.0 kg), is supported and laterally braced independent of the duct or piping system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.5)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Bracing or anchoring of equipment may be appropriate to mitigate seismic risk.
ME-3 Tall Narrow Equipment. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-MH.	Equipment more than 6 ft (1.8 m) high with a height-to-depth or height-to-width ratio greater than 3-to-1 is anchored to the floor slab or adjacent structural walls. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.6)				X	Not able to verify during site investigation. Further investigation should be performed. Brace tops of equipment taller than 6 feet to nearest backing wall or provide overturning base restraint.
ME-4 Mechanical Doors. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-MH.	Mechanically operated doors are detailed to operate at a story drift ratio of 0.01. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.6.9; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.7)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-5 Suspended Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment suspended without lateral bracing is free to swing from or move with the structure from which it is suspended without damaging itself or adjoining components. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1, 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.8)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-6 Vibration Isolators. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment mounted on vibration isolators is equipped with horizontal restraints or snubbers and with vertical restraints to resist overturning. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.1; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.9)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

ME-7 Heavy Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Leguinment weighing more than $A00 \text{ lb } (181 A)$		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-8 Electrical Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Electrical equipment is laterally braced to the structure. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.7; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.11)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.
ME-9 Conduit Couplings. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Conduit greater than 2.5 in. (64 mm) trade size that is attached to panels, cabinets, or other equipment and is subject to relative seismic displacement has flexible couplings or connections. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.8; Commentary: Sec. A.7.12.12)		X	Not required for life safety performance level.

# Piping

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
	Fluid and gas piping has flexible couplings. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-2 Fluid and Gas Piping. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Fluid and gas piping is anchored and braced to the structure to limit spills or leaks. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-3 C-Clamps. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	One-sided C-clamps that support piping larger than 2.5 in. (64 mm) in diameter are restrained. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.5)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
PP-4 Piping Crossing Seismic Joints. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Piping that crosses seismic joints or isolation planes or is connected to independent structures has couplings or other details to accommodate the relative seismic displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.3, 13.7.5; Commentary: Sec. A.7.13.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.

# **Ducts**

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
D-1 Duct Bracing. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Rectangular ductwork larger than 6 ft2 (0.56 m2) in cross-sectional area and round ducts larger than 28 in. (711 mm) in diameter are braced. The maximum spacing of transverse bracing does not exceed 30 ft (9.2 m). The maximum spacing of longitudinal bracing does not exceed 60 ft (18.3 m). (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.2)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
D-2 Duct Support. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Ducts are not supported by piping or electrical conduit. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6; Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.3)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.


	Ducts that cross seismic joints or isolation				
D-3 Ducts Crossing	planes or are connected to independent		X		
Seismic Joints. HR-not	structures have couplings or other details to				Not required for life safety
required; LS-not	accommodate the relative seismic		Λ		performance level.
required; PR-H.	displacements. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.6;				
	Commentary: Sec. A.7.14.4)				

# Elevators

EVALUATION ITEM	EVALUATION STATEMENT	С	NC	N/A	U	COMMENT
EL-1 Retainer Guards. HR-not required; LS-H; PR-H.	Sheaves and drums have cable retainer guards. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.1)			X		There does not appear to be any elevators in the structure.
EL-2 Retainer Plate. HR- not required; LS-H; PR- H.	A retainer plate is present at the top and bottom of both car and counterweight. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.2)			X		There does not appear to be any elevators in the structure.
EL-3 Elevator Equipment. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Equipment, piping, and other components that are part of the elevator system are anchored. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.3)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-4 Seismic Switch. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Elevators capable of operating at speeds of 150 ft/min or faster are equipped with seismic switches that meet the requirements of ASME A17.1 or have trigger levels set to 20% of the acceleration of gravity at the base of the structure and 50% of the acceleration of gravity in other locations. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.4)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-5 Shaft Walls. HR- not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Elevator shaft walls are anchored and reinforced to prevent toppling into the shaft during strong shaking. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.5)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-6 Counterweight Rails. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	All counterweight rails and divider beams are sized in accordance with ASME A17.1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.6)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-7 Brackets. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The brackets that tie the car rails and the counterweight rail to the structure are sized in accordance with ASME A17.1. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.7)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-8 Spreader Bracket. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	Spreader brackets are not used to resist seismic forces. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.8)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.
EL-9 Go-Slow Elevators. HR-not required; LS-not required; PR-H.	The building has a go-slow elevator system. (Tier 2: Sec. 13.7.11; Commentary: Sec. A.7.16.9)			X		Not required for life safety performance level.



This page intentionally left blank.



**Note:** for seismic design category D, E & F, the flexible sprinkler hose fitting must accommodate at least  $1^{\prime\prime}$  of ceiling movement without use of an oversized opening. Alternatively, the sprinkler head must have a  $2^{\prime\prime}$  oversize ring or adapter that allows  $1^{\prime\prime}$  movement in all directions.

Figure G-1. Flexible Sprinkler Drop.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-2. End of Line Restraint.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

# **Partitions**




Figure G-3. Mitigation Schemes for Bracing the Tops of Metal Stud Partitions Walls. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)





Figure G-4. Mitigation Schemes for Bracing the Tops of Metal Stud Partitions Walls. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



**Notes:** Glazed partition shown in full-height nonbearing stud wall. Nonstructural surround must be designed to provide in-plane and out-of-plane restraint for glazing assembly without delivering any loads to the glazing.

Glass-to-frame clearance requirements are dependent on anticipated structural drift. Where partition is isolated from structural drift, clearance requirements are reduced. Refer to building code for specific requirements.

Safety glass (laminated, tempered, etc.) will reduce the hazard in case of breakage during an earthquake. See Example 6.3.1.4 for related discussion.




Figure G-5. Full-height Glazed Partition.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-6. Full-height Heavy Partition.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-7. Typical Glass Block Panel Details. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

# Ceilings






Figure G-8. Suspension System for Acoustic Lay-in Panel Ceilings – Edge Conditions. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



**Note:** Compression strut shall not replace hanger wire. Compression strut consists of a steel section attached to main runner with 2 - #12 sheet metal screws and to structure with 2 - #12 screws to wood or 1/4" min. expansion anchor to structure. Size of strut is dependent on distance between ceiling and structure (I/r  $\le 200$ ). A 1" diameter conduit can be used for up to 6', a 1-5/8" X 1-1/4" metal stud can be used for up to 10'

Per DSA IR 25-5, ceiling areas less than 144 sq. ft, or fire rated ceilings less than 96 sq. ft., surrounded by walls braced to the structure above do not require lateral bracing assemblies when they are attached to two adjacent walls. (ASTM E580 does not require lateral bracing assemblies for ceilings less than 1000 sq. ft.; see text.)

Figure G-9. Suspension System for Acoustic Lay-in Panel Ceilings – General Bracing Assembly. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)








Figure G-10. Suspension System for Acoustic Lay-in Panel Ceilings – General Bracing Layout. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Note: See California DSA IR 25-5 (06-22-09) for additional information.

Figure G-11. Suspension System for Acoustic Lay-in Panel Ceilings – Overhead Attachment Details.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



### a) Gypsum board attached directly to ceiling joists



## b) Gypsum board attached directly to furring strips (hat channel or similar)

Note: Commonly used details shown; no special seismic details are required as long as furring and gypboard secured. Check for certified assemblies (UL listed, FM approved, etc.) if fire or sound rating required.

Figure G-12. Gypsum Board Ceiling Applied Directly to Structure. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-13. Retrofit Detail for Existing Lath and Plaster. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)






Figure G-14. Diagrammatic View of Suspended Heavy Ceiling Grid and Lateral Bracing. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




A-A Main Runner at Perimeter



**B-B Cross Runner at Perimeter** 

Figure G-15. Perimeter Details for Suspended Gypsum Board Ceiling. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

#### See figure 6.3.4.1-7 for connections of bracing and hanger wire to structure



**Note:** Compression strut shall not replace hanger wire. Compresion strut consists of a steel section attached to main runner with 2 - #12 sheet metal screws and to structure with 2 - #12 screws to wood or  $1/4^{\circ}$  min. expansion anchor to concrete. Size of strut is dependent on distance between ceiling and structure ( $I/r \le 200$ ). A 1" diameter conduit can be used for up to 6', a  $1-5/8^{\circ}$  X  $1-1/4^{\circ}$  metal stud can be used for up to 10'. See figure 6.3.4.1-6 for example of bracing assembly.

Figure G-16. Details for Lateral Bracing Assembly for Suspended Gypsum Board Ceiling. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

## **Light Fixtures**




Figure G-17. Recessed Light Fixture in suspended Ceiling (Fixture Weight < 10 pounds). (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-18. Recessed Light Fixture in suspended Ceiling (Fixture Weight 10 to 56 pounds). (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

# **Contents and Furnishings**





Figure G-19. Light Storage Racks. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



**Note:** Purchase storage racks designed for seismic resistance. Storage racks may be classified as either nonstructural elements or nonbuilding structures depending upon their size and support conditions. Check the applicable code to see which provisions apply.

Figure G-20. Industrial Storage Racks.
(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-21. Wall-mounted File Cabinets. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)





Figure G-22. Base Anchored File Cabinets. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



**Note:** Engineering required for all permanent floor-supported cabinets or shelving over 6 feet tall. Details shown are adequate for typical shelving 6 feet or less in height.

Figure G-23. Anchorage of Freestanding Book Cases Arranged Back to Back. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)






Figure G-24. Desktop Computers and Accessories. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



#### **Cantilevered Access Floor Pedestal**




#### **Braced Access Floor Pedestal**

(use for tall floors or where pedestals are not strong enough to resist seismic forces)

Note: For new floors in areas of high seismicity, purchase and install systems that meet the applicable code provisions for "special access floors."


# Figure G-25. Equipment Mounted on Access Floor.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Equipment installed on an independent steel platform within a raised floor

Figure G-26. Equipment Mounted on Access Floor – Independent Base. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



Equipment restrained with cables beneath a raised floor


Figure G-27. Equipment Mounted on Access Floor – Cable Braced. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



Equipment anchored with vertical rods beneath a raised floor


Figure G-28. Equipment Mounted on Access Floor – Tie-down Rods. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

# Mechanical and Electrical Equipment




Note: Rigidly mounted equipment shall have flexible connections for the fuel lines and piping.


Figure G-29. Rigidly Floor-mounted Equipment with Added Angles. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



Supplemental base with restrained spring isolators



Supplemental base with open springs and all-directional snubbers



Supplemental base with open springs and one-directional snubbers

Figure G-30. HVAC Equipment with Vibration Isolation. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-31. Rooftop HVAC Equipment. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-32. Suspended Equipment. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-33. Water Heater Strapping to Backing Wall. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-34. Water Heater – Strapping at Corner Installation. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-35. Water Heater – Base Mounted. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-36. Rigid Bracing – Single Pipe Transverse. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-37. Cable Bracing – Single Pipe Transverse. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)

## **Electrical and Communications**





Figure G-38. Electrical Control Panels, Motor Controls Centers, or Switchgear. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)



Wall-Mounted

Figure G-39. Freestanding and Wall-mounted Electrical Control Panels, Motor Controls Centers, or Switchgear.

(FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)




Figure G-40. Emergency Generator. (FEMA E-74, 2012, Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage)